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ABSTRACT
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2016  
Advisor: Dr. Yi Wai Chiang  
Co-Advisor: Dr. Animesh Dutta  
Committee Member: Dr. Mahendra Thimmanagari

The work presented in this study demonstrated the use of the wastewater generated by a bioethanol production plant as a potential growth media for the microalgae, *Haematococcus pluvialis*, growth, as well as astaxanthin production. A high density (4.37 ± 0.007 g/l) biomass culture was obtained by culturing *H. pluvialis* in an air-lift photobioreactor, supplemented with 5% carbon dioxide gas.

This study evaluated the effectiveness of ultrasound in microalgal cell disruption and astaxanthin extraction. Total astaxanthin extracted (TAE) was 38 ± 2.55 mg/g, by pretreating the astaxanthin rich cells with ultrasound with 2M sodium hydroxide for 25 min, followed by solvent extraction using methanol.

The residual *Haematococcus* biomass, obtained after astaxanthin extraction, was characterized as a potential biofuel feedstock. Hence, *H. pluvialis* helps in wastewater utilization, carbon dioxide uptake, production of high-value astaxanthin, as well as it has a potential to be used as a biofuel feedstock.
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CHAPTER I – INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background

Astaxanthin (3,3′-dihydroxy-β,β-carotene-4,4′-dione), a xanthophyll ketocarotenoid that possesses stronger antioxidant property than beta-carotene and Vitamin E, has been of notable recent interest for pharmaceutical applications that include anti-ageing protection due to UV light photo-oxidation and inflammation (Sarada et al., 2006; Zou et al., 2013). Owing to its anticarcinogenic properties, this carotenoid also finds application for immune response enhancement and amelioration of tissue damage, in addition to treatment of cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, gastric ulcers and hypertension (Dong et al., 2014; Mendes-Pinto et al., 2001).

The two most common methods of astaxanthin production include chemical synthesis and biological synthesis from microalgae, yeast and crustaceans by-products. Synthetic astaxanthin is produced by dienolether condensation of alkyl ethers with acetals (Ruttimann, 1999), or by hydroxylation of canthaxanthin (Bernhard et al. 1984), and the synthetically produced astaxanthin consists of (3S, 3′S), (3R, 3′S), (3S, 3′R), (3R, 3′R), in the ratio 1:2:2:1 (Higuera-Ciapara et al., 2006). However, the active form of astaxanthin is (3S, 3′S) which is only 16.67% of the synthetic astaxanthin mixture. On the other hand, naturally produced astaxanthin is (3S, 3′S) isomer, and possess 10 times stronger antioxidant property than the one’s produced synthetically (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000; Wan et al., 2014). Also, due to food safety concerns and low bioavailability of astaxanthin produced via the chemical route, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has not approved synthetic astaxanthin for human consumption (Dong et al., 2014). Among the natural producers that include various microorganisms, microalgal species, and animals,
the most effective producer is the unicellular microalgae *Haematococcus pluvialis* (Chlorophyceae). This microalga can produce 0.5–4.0% dry weight of astaxanthin during the palmella encysted stage under environmentally stressed conditions (Solovchenko, 2014).

Typically, *Haematococcus* is cultured in BM, BG11, and M1B5 medium, and chemical additives such as ferric or acetate anions are added to stress the cells, which causes a morphological change of vegetative cells into cyst cells (M. Kobayashi et al., 1997; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010; Solovchenko, 2014). In recent years, the integration of nutrient removal from agricultural, municipal, dairy and distillery wastewater by microalgae and simultaneous by-product generation has gained attention; this strategy has been termed phyco-valorization (Querques et al., 2015). Wastewater can provide the carbon source, water, and nutrients (nitrates and phosphates) that microalgae require for growth (Kang et al., 2006; Razzak et al., 2013). Using wastewater as the growing medium eliminates the dependency on costly culture media, hence reducing the overall production cost. Kang et al. has verified nitrogen and phosphorous uptake from primary treated wastewater by *H. pluvialis* for astaxanthin biosynthesis, and (Wang et al., 2014) reported that *Chlorella vulgaris* has 95.7% N uptake and 96.4% P uptake efficiency from primary effluent collected from a local wastewater treatment plant containing 36.1 mg/L N and 4.0 mg/L P. In this study, wastewater generated from the bioethanol production plant will be used to cultivate *H. pluvialis*.

The primary challenge, however, is the extraction of astaxanthin. Its content within the cell (e.g. 9.2 mg/g as reported by Domínguez-Bocanegra et al.) makes up only a fraction of the total cell mass (red cyst comprises of 70% carbohydrates, 66% hexoses, 3% cellulose
and 6% proteins (Hagen et al., 2016)). In addition, the thick sporopollenin cell wall hinders astaxanthin extraction and bioavailability. Reported methods of extraction of astaxanthin include maceration, hydrodistillation, soxhlet extraction, solvent extraction (Sarada et al., 2006), enzyme-assisted solvent extraction, and extraction with vegetable oils (Kang and Sim, 2007). The major drawbacks of these methods include costly lytic enzymes, large solvent consumption, time-consuming processing, and violent mechanical disruption, resulting in thermal degradation of the unsaturated double-bonded astaxanthin due to the heat generated by prolonged homogenization. These drawbacks make the process less sustainable, cost ineffective and also lead to carotenoid loss due to oxidation (Ambati et al., 2014; Bonnie and Choo, 1999; Xiao et al., 2009). Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) has been reported to extract the maximum amount of astaxanthin (Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991a), but traces of DMSO can be found in the final product, which is not approved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as safe for human consumption. In addition, the high cost of DMSO makes it less favorable for commercial scale-up (Wu et al., 2011).

Efficient performance of ultrasound application for breaking the cystic *H. pluvialis* and recovering astaxanthin has been demonstrated by (Ruen-ngam et al., 2010) using a bath sonicator, with 73% recovery being reported, while (Kim et al., 2008) obtained 1.5 times higher astaxanthin yield when using sonication than without it. The ultrasound waves pass through the solvent creating cavities during the expansion cycle and contract during the compression cycle; as these alternating compression and expansion cycles pass, the cavities grown until reaching instability and finally collapsing violently, leading to a sudden localized increase in pressure and temperature. This phenomenon leads to enhanced mass transfer and consequent disruption of microalgal cell walls (Dong et al., 2014;
Pasquet et al., 2011; Ranjan et al., 2010; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010; Zou et al., 2013). However, a bath sonicator, as reportedly used in literature, offers a non-uniform distribution of cavitations in the system, leading to low and uneven intensity environment, which results in longer extraction time for the desired outcome (Ruen-ngam et al., 2010). In this study, an ultrasound probe (horn) will be used instead of a bath, for pretreating the astaxanthin rich cells. The ultrasound probe provided high and evenly distributed intensity environment, which should result in shorter extraction time.

Though astaxanthin constitutes 0.5- 4.0% dry weight of the total biomass (Solovchenko, 2014), it has a great market potential of USD $ 2,500 per kg of astaxanthin (Zhu et al., 2011). For an effective production of this valuable product, high-density *H. pluvialis* culture is a pre-requisite, and this depends on the nutrient composition of the growth media, and the choice of photobioreactor (PBR). Different types of photobioreactor have been used to cultivate algae, such as bubble column reactor (Ranjbar et al., 2008) and air - lift reactor (Kaewpintong et al., 2007). *H. pluvialis* cells are shear sensitive, so mechanically stirred reactor is not a desirable option, and pneumatic agitation is preferred. For example, Kaewpintong et al., 2007 studied the cultivation of *H. pluvialis* in standard F1 medium using air - lift photobioreactor (AL - PBR) and supplemented with 1% CO₂, and was able to achieve 0.31 day⁻¹ of specific growth rate. B. Santhose et al., 2014 cultivates a new strain of *H. pluvialis* in modified BBM media in an AL-PBR and was able to achieve 3g of dried algal biomass from 2.5 L of culture. Ranjbar et al., 2008 was able to achieve 5 g/l of algal biomass by cultivating *H. pluvialis* in basal media and using a continuous supply of CO₂ in a Bubble column reactor. In this study, an air - lift...
photobioreactor will be used, supplemented with carbon dioxide supply, for indoor cultivation of *H. pluvialis*.

1.2. **Scope and Objectives**

Where *H. pluvialis* has a strong influence in production of a sustainable, high value antioxidant, astaxanthin, most of the research work has primarily been focused on culturing this microalgae in standard, chemically synthesized, growth media. Also, large scale cultivation of *H. pluvialis* has been feasible in countries with warm tropical climate, where outdoor cultivation is possible.

However, cultivation of *H. pluvialis* in wastewater generated by a bioethanol production plant represents a sustainable way of astaxanthin production, as *H. pluvialis* can utilize the nutrients present in the wastewater for their growth, as well as astaxanthin accumulation. Furthermore, using an air-lift photobioreactor for indoor cultivation of *H. pluvialis* signifies a strong potential in the best ways to utilize wastewater as well as carbon dioxide uptake. Another advantage of using bioethanol waste stream is that it’s non-toxic and harmless, free of heavy metal or biological contaminants, because the bioethanol is produced from corn kernels, and such wastewater samples, generated by a brewery industry or a food industry, are generally high in suspended solids, TP, TN, COD and BOD (Fillaudeau et al., 2006; Gugała et al., 2015). This implies that the astaxanthin produced using such wastewater will be free of safety concerns arising due to the raw material used.

Extensive variety of literature review is available on astaxanthin production by culturing *H. pluvialis* under different stress conditions (light, nutrient, and salinity), however, very few studies exist that has examined culturing *H. pluvialis* in nutrient rich wastewater from biological industry process. The primary goal of the work presented in
this thesis is intensified and green production of astaxanthin by optimizing the growth media conditions, in order to formulate a media to support high-density *H. pluvialis* culture, as well as astaxanthin production, and using ultrasound assisted extraction method for astaxanthin recovery. This work will be useful to investors and researchers in integrating astaxanthin production along with bioethanol production plant, as an added revenue stream, and to determine the potential of phyco-valorization for a wide range of industrial and environmental applications.

### 1.3. Thesis Outline

This thesis is organized as below:

**Chapter II:** Literature review chapter contains background information on astaxanthin; sources, structure, and applications, *Haematococcus pluvialis*, different growth media used for cultivation, cultivation systems; closed and open system, different astaxanthin extraction techniques, and market status of astaxanthin. This chapter ends with the problem statement and the research objectives.

**Chapter III:** The main objective of this chapter is to culture *H. pluvialis* in wastewater from bioethanol production and test the effect of wastewater dilutions, different wastewater samples, and formulation, in order to come up with an appropriate media. The second part of this chapter evaluates the effect of different cell – disrupting chemicals, the effect of different concentration of sodium hydroxide and extraction time, and the effect of different solvents coupled with ultrasonication for astaxanthin recovery. Several testing
methods used for each experiment has been explained, followed by results and discussion.

**Chapter IV:** After formulating a growth media for *H. pluvialis* cultivation and astaxanthin production, a high-density culture was obtained in a 2.2 L Air-lift photobioreactor (AL – PBR). The effect of different concentration of carbon dioxide on *H. pluvialis* growth was examined. The wastewater was analyzed before and after the astaxanthin production, in order to understand the nutrient removal potential of *H. pluvialis*. Also, Microcystin detection test was carried out to evaluate the safety of astaxanthin produced using wastewater. In addition, the residual *Haematococcus* biomass, obtained after astaxanthin extraction, was characterized to evaluate its potential as a biofuel feedstock.

**Chapter V:** In this chapter, a summary of the research findings of this study is presented, followed by a recommendation for future works. References follow henceforth.

This research was financially supported by the **Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (LAAIR2014-5089).**

Greenfield Ethanol Plant, Chatham, Ontario, provided the wastewaters, used in this research.
CHAPTER II – LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Introduction

Astaxanthin is a xanthophyll ketocarotenoid with very strong antioxidant properties and a high market potential of $2500 per kg (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000). Natural astaxanthin is 10 times stronger and safer than the synthetic astaxanthin (Wan et al., 2014) and hence astaxanthin production from natural sources has gained recent interest. *H. pluvialis* is a freshwater green unicellular microalga, which can produce 0.5 – 4% of astaxanthin by weight (Kobayashi et al., 1993). Other sources of astaxanthin are krill, shrimp, salmon, and crab. It finds application as a coloring agent, nutraceutical, and pharmaceutical due to its high antioxidant property (Giannelli et al., 2015). *H. pluvialis* has a two-stage life cycle, the motile green phase where the cell number increases, and the encysted red immotile stage, where astaxanthin accumulation takes place, under environmentally stressed conditions, such as light, nitrate or salinity (Katsuda et al., 2006).

2.2. Sources of Astaxanthin

Astaxanthin can be derived from natural sources, bacteria, fungi, sea animals, like shrimps, crayfish, shrimp, trout, salmon, and green algae (Kang et al., 2007), as well as be produced through chemical synthesis. The natural sources of astaxanthin (algae, fungi, crustaceans, and bacteria) has been summarized in Table 2.1. Commercially, astaxanthin is derived from the microalgae *Haematococcus pluvialis*, yeast *Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous*, and bacterium *Paracoccus carotinifaciens* (Bories et al., 2008; Schmidt et al., 2011). However, the major natural source of astaxanthin is the *Haematococcus pluvialis* microalgae which can accumulate up to 0.5-4% astaxanthin on dry weight basis (Kobayashi et al., 1992).
Table 2.1: Sources of Astaxanthin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Astaxanthin (% Dry weight)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microalgae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Haematococcus pluvialis</em></td>
<td>0.5 – 4</td>
<td>(Goksan and Ak, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlorococcum sp.</em></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(Zhang and Lee, 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlorella zofingiensis</em></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(Wang and Peng, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Neochloris wimmeri</em></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>(Orosa et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Protosiphon botryoides</em></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>(Orosa et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macroalgae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enteromorpha intestinalis</em></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(Banerjee et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Catenella repens</em></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(Banerjee et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paracoccus carotinifaciens</em></td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>(Bories et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paracoccus haeundaensis</em></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>(Lee et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous AS 2.1557</em></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous</em></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(Schmidt et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protists</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thraustochytrium sp. CHN-3 (FERM P-18556)</em></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(Ambati et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crustaceans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pandalus borealis</em> (Shrimp)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>(Jiao et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pandalus clarkia</em> (crayfish)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>(Pérez-Gálvez et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. *Haematococcus pluvialis*

*Haematococcus pluvialis* is the most efficient producer of astaxanthin, in comparison to the other sources, and can accumulate maximum amount of astaxanthin (0.5-4% dry weight). It’s a freshwater microalgae, with a two-stage life cycle (Figure 2.1); the first stage is the green phase, where the green motile cells grow in number, and the second red phase, where astaxanthin accumulation occurs. The transition from green to red phase occurs when the cells are environmentally stressed. The different ways of stress include nitrate deprivation.
(Suh et al., 2006), light stress (Katsuda et al., 2006), acetate supplementation (Kobayashi et al., 1993), and salinity stress (Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991a).

2.4. Growth Media

Various growth media has been used to culture *H. pluvialis*, for example Bold Basal Media (BBM) (Hanan et al., 2013), marine culture media (MCM) (Borowitzka et al., 1991), BG11 (Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991), agricultural fertilizers (N-P-K) (Conk et al., 2007), Flora series fertilizers, example M1B5 media (Tocquin et al., 2012). In their study, Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991 reported the composition of *H. pluvialis* and it was seen that this microalga contain 53% carbohydrate, 19.5% protein, and 9.5% lipids. Hence, the main nutrients of the growth media are carbon, nitrogen, and phosphate. Based on the different growth media used for *H. pluvialis* culture, the nutrient composition chart was prepared, (Table 2.2).
Table 2.2: Nutrient composition of different growth media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrients (g/L)</th>
<th>M1B5 (Tocquin et al., 2012b)</th>
<th>BG11 (Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991)</th>
<th>BBM (Hanan et al., 2013)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.0035</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.0000021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.00004</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.000265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.00167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.00000005</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00000009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.00002</td>
<td>0.0000028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.000008</td>
<td>0.00015</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.00012</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.00036</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDTA</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.00078</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citric Acid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In recent years, the integration of nutrient removal from agricultural, municipal, dairy and distillery wastewater by microalgae and simultaneous by-product generation has gained attention; this strategy has been termed phyco-valorization (Querques et al., 2015). Wastewater can provide the carbon source, water, and nutrients (nitrates and phosphates) that microalgae require for growth (Kang et al., 2006; Razzak et al., 2013). Using wastewater as the growing medium eliminates the dependency on costly culture media, hence reducing the overall production cost. Kang et al. has verified nitrogen and phosphorous uptake from primary treated wastewater by *H. pluvialis* for astaxanthin biosynthesis, and (Wang et al., 2014) reported that *Chlorella vulgaris* has 95.7% N uptake and 96.4% P uptake efficiency from primary effluent collected from a local wastewater treatment plant containing 36.1 mg/L N and 4.0 mg/L P. Table 2.3 shows the total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphate (TP) content of different types of wastewater, which can be used as a potential source for *H. pluvialis* culture. Even though most of the sources of wastewater has good amount of TN and TP to support microalgal growth, however, for astaxanthin production, an acetate rich wastewater is desirable.
Table 2.3: TN and TP content in different wastewater sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wastewater</th>
<th>TN (mg/l)</th>
<th>TP (mg/l)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piggery wastewater</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>(Abou-Shanab et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary effluent and Anaerobic digestion centrate</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>(Wang et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy wastewater (10% dilution)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>(Woertz et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal wastewater (San Luis Obispo, California)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>(Woertz et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agro industrial wastewater (Dairy industry and pig farm)</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>111.8</td>
<td>(González et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distillery Spent wash (Beet molasses as feedstock)</td>
<td>3569</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>(Wilkie et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. Cultivation system

There are two types of cultivation system; “open” or outdoor system, and “closed” or indoor system. The “open” system, such as lagoons, ponds, raceways, makes use of natural sunlight for algal growth, whereas the “closed” system, i.e. the photobioreactor, utilizes the artificial light source. Even though “closed” system can be expensive, it is an ideal option if wastewater is being used as a growth media, or if carbon dioxide supply is needed to support microalgal growth (Razzak et al., 2013). Cyanotech Corporation, Hawaii, follows an outdoor “open” system, paddle wheel raceway ponds as shown in Figure 2.2, for the astaxanthin production. As Hawaii has a warm climate, outdoor cultivation is a practical and feasible option (Zhu et al., 2011), however, in countries with
a colder climate, “closed” system is the only option if round the year production is desired. For example, Algecan Biotech Ltd, Canada, makes use of continuously stirred photobioreactor and LED light for *H. pluvialis* cultivation, as shown in Figure 2.3. Different types of photobioreactor have been used to cultivate algae, such as bubble column reactor (Ranjbar et al., 2008) and air-lift reactor (Kaewpintong et al., 2007). *H. pluvialis* cells are shear sensitive, so mechanically stirred reactor is not a desirable option, and pneumatic agitation is preferred. For example, Kaewpintong et al., 2007 studied the cultivation of *H. pluvialis* in a standard F1 medium using air-lift photobioreactor (AL-PBR) and supplemented with 1% CO₂, and was able to achieve 0.31 day⁻¹ of specific growth rate. B. Santhose et al., 2014 cultivates a new strain of *H. pluvialis* in modified BBM media in an AL-PBR and was able to achieve 3g of dried algal biomass from 2.5 L of culture. Ranjbar et al., 2008 was able to achieve 5 g/l of algal biomass by cultivating *H. pluvialis* in basal media and using a continuous supply of CO₂ in a Bubble column reactor.

![Figure 2.2: Paddle wheel Raceway pond used by Cyanotech Corporation, Hawaii.](Adapted from www.cyantotech.com, retrieved on June 16, 2016).
2.6. Chemistry of Astaxanthin

Astaxanthin (C₄₀H₅₂O₄, molar mass is 596.84 g/mole) is a symmetrical molecule with two chiral centers, at 3 and 3’ positions of the aromatic ionone rings on both end of the molecule, as shown in Figure 2.4 (Guerin et al., 2003). There are 3 enantiomer isomers of astaxanthin (3S, 3’S), (3R, 3’R) and (3R,3’S), and the astaxanthin available from *H. pluvialis* is (3S, 3’S) isomer, which is esterified with fatty acid to form mono (70%) or diesters (25%) (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000). The esterified form of astaxanthin is more soluble and stable in the cellular lipid. The presence of the hydroxyl and keto groups, and the esterified form imparts lipophilic as well as hydrophilic properties to astaxanthin. The
red color of astaxanthin is attributed to the presence of the conjugated double bonds, which is also responsible for the strong antioxidant property. The conjugated double bonds donate the electrons and reacts with free radicals, and hence terminates the free radical chain reaction (Higuera-Ciapara et al., 2006). Biosynthesis of astaxanthin is accompanied with the synthesis of various lipid soluble molecules such as sterols, steroids and prostaglandins and the positioning of astaxanthin relative to the cell membrane is shown in Figure 2.5.

![Figure 2.5: Position of Astaxanthin.](https://healthmasters.com/blog/hemmla-new-miracle-product, retrieved on 06/15/2016)

2.7. Extraction of Astaxanthin

Astaxanthin is synthesized within the thick sporopollenin cell wall, which blocks astaxanthin extraction. Different extraction techniques have been used by researchers, summarized in Table 2.4, to make the astaxanthin bioavailable. Ruen-ngam et al., 2010 compared the different extraction methods and reports that microwave assisted extraction
(MAE) and ultrasound assisted extraction (UAE) are effective extraction methods, resulting in 74% and 73% astaxanthin recovery, respectively. Dong et al., 2014 and Sarada et al., 2006 reports that a combined treatment with hydrochloric acid followed by acetone extraction would result in 86% extractability of astaxanthin. Pretreatment of the astaxanthin rich cysts to disrupt the cell wall is necessary in order to extract the astaxanthin.

**Table 2.4: Different astaxanthin extraction method.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Particulars</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solvent Extraction</td>
<td>Methanol-Acetone,</td>
<td>(Dong et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Extraction</td>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>(Dong et al., 2014; Krichnavaruk et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid-Solvent System</td>
<td>Hydrochloric acid-Acetone</td>
<td>(Dong et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supercritical extraction</td>
<td>Supercritical CO₂</td>
<td>(Krichnavaruk et al., 2008; Machado et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE)</td>
<td>UAE was carried out in solvents, for example, acetone, methanol, acetonitrile, and ethanol, using an ultrasonic bath.</td>
<td>(Gogate and Nadar, 2015; Pasquet et al., 2011; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010; Zou et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maceration</td>
<td>Maceration was carried out in solvents, for example, acetone, methanol, acetonitrile, and ethanol.</td>
<td>(Mendes-Pinto et al., 2001; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010; Sarada et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoclave</td>
<td>30 min, 121°C, 1 atm</td>
<td>(Mendes-Pinto et al., 2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray drying</td>
<td>Inlet 180°C and outlet 115°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme-assisted extraction</td>
<td>protease K and driselase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE)</td>
<td>MAE was carried out in solvents, for example, acetone, methanol, acetonitrile, and ethanol.</td>
<td>(Csiktnádi Kiss et al., 2000; Pasquet et al., 2011; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7.1. **Ultrasound Assisted Extraction (UAE)**

The ultrasound passes through a solvent, which creates compression and expansion cycle, thus leading to the formation of cavities or micro-bubbles. These small bubbles eventually grow in size during the successive expansion cycle, and collapse during the compression cycle. As the pressure and temperature increases inside the bubbles due to the localized compression, the large bubble eventually collapses, hence resulting in enhanced heat and mass transfer, and disruption of the algal cell wall (Gogate and Nadar, 2015; Ruen-ngam et al., 2010; Zou et al., 2013). This principle has been shown in Figure 2.6.

![Figure 2.6: Principle of ultrasonication](http://www.sonotronic.de/technologies/ultrasonic/sonication-of-bio-solids, Retrieved on 06/25/2016)
2.7.2. Microwave Assisted Extraction (MAE)

Microwaves are electromagnetic wave within the frequency range of 300 MHz – 300 GHz, which when passes through a medium, gets converted into thermal energy (Csiktusnádi Kiss et al., 2000). This conversion occurs via two mechanisms: dipole rotation and ionic conduction. The medium gets heated homogeneously when the frequency of the radiation equals the rotational motions of the molecules, due to energy transfer. As the temperature rises, there is an enhanced heat and mass transfer, which results in heat dissipation. Also, as the pressure increases, the microalgal cell structure undergoes modification to enhance pigment extraction (Ruen-ngam et al., 2010). Figure 2.7 shows a schematic setup of a microwave unit utilized for extraction.

Figure 2.7: Schematic diagram of microwave extraction unit. (Adapted from Yao et al., 2012.)
2.7.3. **Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE)**

Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) method makes use of the thermodynamic properties of the fluids near the supercritical point. This point is reached when the fluid’s temperature and pressure are increased over its critical point. These states are shown in Figure 2.8. Above the supercritical point, the supercritical fluid possesses low viscosity and surface tension, and high diffusivity, this property results in better diffusion of the fluid through the cell structure, hence resulting in enhances astaxanthin extraction (Thana et al., 2008). SFE using carbon dioxide has been widely used to extract astaxanthin (Krchnavaruk et al., 2008; Machado et al., 2014; Reyes et al., 2014). The supercritical temperature and pressure of carbon dioxide at the critical point is 340K and 7.3 MPa, and the non–flammable and non–toxic nature makes it a preferable solvent for nutraceutical pigments. However, SFE technique has several challenges, including, choice of supercritical fluid, sample preparation, type of co–solvents, and the high pressure.

![Phase Diagram showing the supercritical fluid region](http://cnx.org/content/wmqmYmjc@2/Basic-Principles-of-Supercriti, Retrieved on 06/25/2016)

**Figure 2.8: Phase Diagram showing the supercritical fluid region.** (Adapted from http://cnx.org/content/wmqmYmjc@2/Basic-Principles-of-Supercriti, Retrieved on 06/25/2016)
2.8. Application of Astaxanthin

2.8.1. Aquaculture

The natural astaxanthin is used as a feed for salmonid aquaculture. The flesh and skin coloration in salmon and trout is due to the significant deposition of astaxanthin. Red colored Sea bream (red snapper) has a very high market price owing to the red pigmentation of their skin which is due to astaxanthin deposition. Naturally occurring Sea bream lacks astaxanthin in their diet, so artificial diet supplemented with astaxanthin provided the necessary pigmentation in cultured Sea bream. Astaxanthin supplementation is also used to raise ornamental luminous fish, such as clown anemone fish, rainbow sharks, rosy barbs, pink kissing gouramis and red velvet swordtails. Astaxanthin is used in shrimp farming, especially for kuruma prawn and tiger prawn, which has in high demand worldwide (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000).

2.8.2. Antioxidant

Astaxanthin has stronger antioxidant properties than beta-carotene, zeaxanthin, Vitamin C and Vitamin E, owing to which they help in protecting the skin against UV radiation, immune system enhancer, and betterment of age-related macular degeneration (AMD). AMD (blindness) is caused due to exposure to high energy blue light, which results in photo-oxidative damage of the lipids, hence leading to the formation of free radicals and singlet oxygen molecules. Astaxanthin has free radical scavenging and singlet oxygen quenching properties, and can effectively destroy the peroxide chain reactions to protect the sensitive membranes (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000). Astaxanthin can also reduce the risk of atherosclerosis, which is caused due to lipids deposition. It can also enhance the immune system by enhancing the production of immunoglobulins A, M, and G. UV light
exposures can generate free radicals and singlet oxygen, and these can especially damage the skin and eye, and astaxanthin provides protection against UV light (Guerin et al., 2003).

2.8.3. Human Health

Astaxanthin provides protection against inflammation, for example, gastric inflammation, which is caused due to the generation of reactive oxygen species formed by the phagocytic leukocytes in response to the invasion by Helicobacter pylori. It also helps to reduce inflammation caused by asthma or muscle fatigue (Guerin et al., 2003). Astaxanthin helps to reduce the bad cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) level and hence provides protection against heart diseases and atherosclerosis. It has been reported that astaxanthin has anti-cancer properties, helps in the detoxification of the liver, and lowers the risk of neurodegenerative diseases (Alzheimer’s, Huntington’s and Parkinson’s disease) (Higuera-Ciapara et al., 2006). Table 2.5 summarizes the application of natural astaxanthin.

Table 2.5: Application of Astaxanthin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As a natural coloring agent added to the aquaculture feed for salmonid and crustaceans farming.</td>
<td>(Higuera-Ciapara et al., 2006; Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowers the risk of cardiovascular diseases.</td>
<td>(Guerin et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves eye health, lowers cataract formation and macular degeneration.</td>
<td>(Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression of cell proliferation, mutagenesis and carcinogenesis by preventing oxidative damage of cells.</td>
<td>(Ambati et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of gastric ulcers by fighting against H. pylori.</td>
<td>(Ambati et al., 2014; Guerin et al., 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunological system enhancer.</td>
<td>(Guerin et al., 2003; Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection against UV radiations.</td>
<td>(Hagen et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of Diabetes.</td>
<td>(Ambati et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.9. Market status

Various natural astaxanthin products are marketed by companies worldwide (Table 2.6, Figure 2.9). The market price is dominated by the production technology employed by the commercial producers. AstaReal AB, Sweden, uses indoor photobioreactors and artificial light, whereas, Cyanotech corporation in Hawaii makes use of a combination of indoor photobioreactors and outdoor open ponds, and natural sunlight to produce astaxanthin (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2000; Zhu et al., 2011). Utilization of artificial light and photobioreactors adds to the capital and operation cost, however, if the production technology is optimized, the overall production cost can be reduced, and the revenue generation can be improved.

Figure 2.9: Different forms of astaxanthin available in the market: algal biomass (left) and soft gels (right). (Source: http://www.astareal.se/products, http://rsa-health.com/?attachment_id=166, retrieved on 06/26/2016).
Table 2.6 Manufacturers of Natural Astaxanthin (Website retrieved on 06/15/2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asta Factor</td>
<td>Mera Pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Supplementary soft gels for human health benefits.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.astafactor.com">www.astafactor.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asta Pure</td>
<td>Alga Technologies</td>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Dietary supplement and cosmeceuticals.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.algatech.com">www.algatech.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asta Xin</td>
<td>Asta Real AB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Nutritional Supplement.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.astareal.com">www.astareal.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BioAstin</td>
<td>Cyanotech Corporation</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Dietary supplement.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cyanotech.com">www.cyanotech.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novasta</td>
<td>Asta Real AB</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Feed ingredient and supplement for animals.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.astareal.com">www.astareal.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NatuRose</td>
<td>Cyanotech Corporation</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Pigment for aquatic animals, birds, horses and pigs.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cyanotech.com">www.cyanotech.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asta Vantage</td>
<td>Algaecan Biotech ltd</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Nutraceutical.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.algaecan.com">www.algaecan.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asta Supreme</td>
<td>Supreme Biotechnologies</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>Eye care, Cardiac Support and healing Joint ailments.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.astasupreme.co.nz">www.astasupreme.co.nz</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.10. Problem Statement

In recent years, extensive research has focused on the cultivation and exploitation of microalgae for the production of value-added by-products, as this unicellular microalgal biomass has a large potential for the production of nutritional and bio-based products. Microalgae can perform photosynthesis to convert light and carbon dioxide to a wide range of bio-products, such as extracellular excreted products: ethanol and hydrogen; and intracellularly accumulated product; biopolymers, fats, and anti-oxidants. However, the recovery of intracellular high-value components is economically feasible, and the main challenge is the recovery of extracellular by-products, which are entrapped within the cell structures. The target bio-product in this study is the strong antioxidant astaxanthin, which is an extracellular component, and its recovery from the thick cell wall of the *H. pluvialis* is a challenge.

Bio-ethanol production plants co-produce carbon dioxide - rich gasses, during the fermentation step, and nutrient-rich wastewater streams, during the ethanol recovery steps, and these waste streams may be readily taken up by *H. pluvialis* cultures. The main drive of this project is to efficiently use these resources for the generation of high-value astaxanthin that can become an additional revenue stream for the ethanol industry, which has important applications in aquaculture and as a nutritional food supplement. Astaxanthin sells for US$2500/kg, with an annual worldwide market estimated at US$200 million. While it can be produced synthetically, consumer demand for natural products provides an opportunity for the production of natural astaxanthin. Compared to other natural sources of astaxanthin, including krill and crawfish oil, and *Phaffia* yeast, which contains only 0.2-0.4% astaxanthin, *H. pluvialis* contains 0.5-4 %.
Industrial *H. pluvialis* cultivation currently takes place primarily in open ponds. However, in the Canadian climate context, where year-round cultivation must be done indoors, closed photobioreactors (PBRs) are required. PBRs allow densification and intensification of the process, as well as lower contamination risk, better control of gas–liquid contact, and lower harvesting costs.

### 2.11. Research Objectives

The research areas that are specifically focused in this study are:

1. Formulating a growth media using the wastewater generated by a bioethanol production plant, in order to support high-density *H. pluvialis* culture, as well as astaxanthin induction.

2. Recovery of astaxanthin using Ultrasound-assisted extraction.

3. Characterization of residual *Haematococcus* biomass as a potential biofuel feedstock.
CHAPTER III – INTENSIFIED GREEN PRODUCTION OF ASTAXANTHIN
FROM *Haematococcus pluvialis*.

3.1. Introduction

In this chapter, the objectives were to evaluate bioethanol wastewater streams (thin stillage, process condensate, and scrubber / dryer samples) as potential ‘green’ media to culture microalgae *Haematococcus pluvialis*, and to assess the effectiveness of ultrasound in microalgal cell disruption and astaxanthin extraction. *H. pluvialis* was cultured in wastewater dilutions (20x – 80x) and formulations. Astaxanthin was extracted using ultrasound with cell-disrupting chemicals (acetic acid, citric acid, hydrogen peroxide and sodium hydroxide) and astaxanthin extracting solvents (acetone, ethanol, and methanol) at different extraction durations (5–35 min).

In view of recent research and development on astaxanthin synthesis and extraction, an intensified approach that offers greener cell culturing and astaxanthin production, by means of shorter extraction time, reduced solvent usage, higher extraction yield, and elimination of harsh chemicals usage, is desirable. To achieve this, the present study is carried out in two stages, by culturing *H. pluvialis* in wastewater from bioethanol production in the first stage, and performing intensified extraction of astaxanthin in the second stage. Ultrasonication process is optimized by using a probe sonicator in combination with cell-disrupting chemicals as a pretreatment step to rupture the double-walled red *Haematococcus* cysts, prior to solvent extraction.
3.2. Materials and Methods

3.2.1. Wastewater Sampling and Characterization

The wastewater samples were collected from a local bioethanol plant, where corn kernels are used as the raw material (Figure 3.1). The samples were collected from three different steps of the production process, namely, the distillation unit (S1), the evaporation unit (S2), and the scrubber/dryer unit (S3). The pH of the samples was measured on-site using a pH meter. The wastewater samples were characterized for turbidity, dissolved oxygen (DO), total nitrogen (TN), total organic carbon (TOC), total phosphate (TP), acetic acid (as it helps in astaxanthin induction) and biological oxygen demand (BOD). Prior to use, all wastewater samples were autoclaved for sterilization.

![Figure 3.1: (a) Thin Stillage (S1), (b) Process Condensate (S2), (c) Scrubber/Dryer Sample (S3).](image)

3.2.2. Microalgal Stock and Cultivation

Strains of *Haematococcus pluvialis* CPCC 93 were procured from the Canadian Phycological Culture Centre, University of Waterloo. Stock cultures were grown on modified M1B5, a modification of the media used by (Tocquin et al., 2012b): 0.5 ml/L Flora Micro and 2.5 ml/L Flora Bloom (General Hydroponics). The cultures were
maintained at pH 7 and incubated at 23 ± 2°C, 12h/12h light/dark cycle (600W MH light) with gentle agitation twice a day. Cultivation was done inside a 3’x 3’ Expert Grow Tent equipped with reflective 600D Mylar fabric to maintain even lighting conditions.

3.2.3. **Microalgal Growth and Astaxanthin Accumulation in Bioethanol Wastewaters**

Microalgal growth was tested in wastewater sample S2 and S3 directly, and in dilutions of S1 (20, 40, 60 and 80 times), as S1 was a highly concentrated and thick sample, to optimize the microalgal growth. The microalgal inoculum was 10% (v/v) of the wastewater with a four-day old seed culture. The wastewater culture condition is same as the microalgal stock culture condition. Cultures were done in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks, manually agitated twice a day, in triplicates. After seven days, the culture was exposed to continuous light (600W HPS light) to stress the cells for astaxanthin induction. The astaxanthin induction and accumulation were conducted under continuous illumination for three weeks. The modified M1B5 standard medium was used as a sterile control.

To formulate the optimum growth medium for microalgal growth and astaxanthin accumulation (based on the obtained results), a mixture of 60% modified S1 and 40% S2 (denominated GroAst media) was made. Modified S1 was initially cultured by itself for seven days, and S2 was added on day 7.
3.2.4. Astaxanthin Extraction

Astaxanthin extraction was investigated using two approaches: a) ultrasonication followed by solvent extraction, and b) ultrasonication with cell-disrupting chemicals pretreatment followed by solvents extraction. Acetic acid (5M), citric acid (5M), sodium hydroxide (0.2 - 3.0M) and hydrogen peroxide (2M) were used for the pretreatment experiments. The maximum possible concentration of acid used in the investigation was 5 M because acid concentrations above 5 M were not suitable for application along with the ultrasound probe. Extraction solvents used were acetone (99.5 %), methanol (99.8 %) and ethanol (99 %). All chemicals used are Fisher Scientific analytical grade.

The cells in sampled broths were dewatered before addition of chemicals and sonication. An amount of 50 ml of the algal culture broth was taken and centrifuged (Thermo Scientific Sorvall ST 24) at 5,000 g for 15 min. Cells were dried overnight at room temperature. To the cell pellets obtained, 200 ml of the cell damaging/pretreatment chemical solution or solvent was added. Extraction using ultrasonic horn was performed in a 500 ml beaker at 35% sonication amplitude. The VC 750 ultrasonic processor (Sonics & Materials Inc.) used in the work had a probe (horn) of 1.3 cm diameter, the maximum power rating of 750 W, and operating frequency of 20 kHz, as shown in Figure 3.2. Amounts of 10 ml were sampled at intervals of 5, 15, 25 and 35 minutes of sonication before further analysis. For extractions with pretreatment, the solutions were centrifuged again to recover the cell pellets, before continuing with solvent extraction using the same procedure.
Figure 3.2: (a) Ultrasound Unit, and (b) Schematic outline of the ultrasound set up used in this study.
3.2.5. Analysis

3.2.5.1. Cell and Cyst Density Determination

For analysis of cell growth and cyst accumulation, the algal broths were centrifuged at 5,000 x g for 15 minutes; the supernatant was discarded, and the dry weight was determined after drying the cell pellet overnight at room temperature. The pellet was homogenized in pure acetone, and absorbance was measured, using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 20 Visible, Thermo Fisher Scientific), at 670 nm and 450 nm for determination of microalgal cell growth and cyst content, respectively, based on optical density (OD) calibration.

3.2.5.2. Astaxanthin Extraction Yield Determination

Total carotenoid content was determined using spectrophotometric measurements as per the method reported by Meyer and Du Preez, 1994. The absorbance of 4ml of the extraction solutions was measured at 450 nm, and a specific extinction coefficient (E1%) of 2,100 was used. Based on prior studies (Vustin et al., 2004), it was assumed that total astaxanthin content represented the majority of the total carotenoid content detected at this wavelength. The yield of total astaxanthin content (TAC, μg/g DW) was then estimated using the following equation (Gogate and Nadar, 2015):

$$\text{TAC} = \frac{V \cdot A \cdot 100}{21 \cdot W}$$

where, V is volume (ml) of solvent used, A is absorbance and W is dry weight (g) of biomass in the solvent (determined by drying the solvent solution).
The increase in the TAC compared to HCl–ACE extraction (Dong et al., 2014) as the control was estimated using Relative Astaxanthin Amount Increase (RACI, %) using the following equation:

\[
\text{RACI} = \frac{\text{TAC}_{\text{sample}} - \text{TAC}_{\text{HCl–ACE, control}}}{\text{TAC}_{\text{HCl–ACE, control}}} \cdot 100 \%
\]

3.2.5.2. Extractability Calculation

The main objective of the study was to develop an intensified method for cell disruption, followed by solvent extraction, for obtaining maximum recovery of astaxanthin. Hence, the effectiveness of the process was measured in terms of the percent ratio of astaxanthin extracted over the total astaxanthin content present in the microalgae. The degree of Extractability (%) was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Extractability} = \frac{\text{TAC}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{TAC}_{\text{DMSO, control}}} \cdot 100 \%
\]

where, TAC of control was determined using DMSO method (Boussiba and Vonshak, 1991a), assuming complete recovery of astaxanthin is achieved by treating the cells with DMSO.

Microscopic Observation

Algal cells were observed under a compound microscope (Fisher) at 100x magnification.

3.2.5.3. Data Analysis

All readings were taken in triplicates and results were represented along with standard deviation.

The data, in the graphs, have been represented along with standard errors.
3.3. Results

3.3.1. Wastewater characterization

Table 3.1 summarizes the wastewater characteristics along with the nutrient composition present in the standard media (modified M1B5). The wastewater samples had acidic pH (3.25 – 3.98) with very low DO (0.007 g/l – 0.005 g/l) for the thin stillage (S1) and the process condensate (S2); and a relatively higher DO for the wastewater obtained from the scrubber/dryer zone (S3). S1 had a very high turbidity (> 1000 NTU), which gave it a very dense yellow color. It also contained a high amount of TOC, TP and TN compared to S2, whereas it was devoid of acetate anions, which was present in S2. S3 did not contain any phosphate. Comparison of the wastewater nutrient composition with that of the standard media shows that S1 contains the nutrients required for microalgae growth but in roughly 60 times stronger concentration, therefore a diluted S1 could be optimal for the microalgae growth (dilution factor was investigated in Section 3.3.2.1). In the case of S2, it contains acetate anions, which could benefit astaxanthin induction.
Table 3.1: Wastewater characteristics and nutrient composition of the culture media.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Sample S1</th>
<th>Sample S2</th>
<th>Sample S3</th>
<th>Modified S1</th>
<th>Standard Media</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>Greater than 1000</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (g/l)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid (g/l)</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (g/l)</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (g/l)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (g/l)</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD (g/l)</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2. Wastewater test

3.3.2.1. Effect of wastewater dilutions

*H. pluvialis* survived in all the dilutions tested for wastewater sample S1 and succumbed when grown in the undiluted sample. The microalgae showed a gradual increase in growth from 20 times dilution to 60 times dilution; thereafter, a downfall in growth occurred when grown in 80 times dilution (Figure 3.3). Maximum growth of 0.69 ± 0.008 mg/ml was recorded at 60 times dilution of wastewater sample S1 on the 11th day. The cell density at this condition was ±5% to that of the control (standard media). S1 samples diluted 20-40 times showed reduced microalgal growth, in the range of 0.223 ± 0.006 mg/ml to 0.413 ± 0.005 mg/ml, as the high nutrient content of the culture media hinders algal growth. Similarly, at 80 times dilution, the algal growth decreases as the
culture media contains an insufficient amount of nutrients for algal growth. Therefore, for subsequent experiments, the 60x diluted S1 (referred to as “modified S1”), was used.

![Growth curve of H. pluvialis in S1 wastewater dilutions, expressed as total cell density measured by OD (670 nm).](image)

**Figure 3.3:** Growth curve of *H. pluvialis* in S1 wastewater dilutions, expressed as total cell density measured by OD (670 nm).

### 3.3.2.2. Effect of different wastewater samples and formulations

Figures 3.4a and 3.4b present the total cell density and cyst accumulation concentrations, respectively, of *H. pluvialis* grown in different wastewater samples and formulations. In standard media, *Haematococcus* showed maximum cell growth of 0.704 ± 0.016 mg/ml on the 10\textsuperscript{th} day and reached a stationary phase for cyst accumulation of
0.574 ± 0.002 mg/ml on the 21\textsuperscript{st} day. Modified S1 (S1 diluted 60x) supported the maximum microalgal growth of 0.693 ± 0.005 mg/ml on the 11\textsuperscript{th} day; astaxanthin induction started on the 11\textsuperscript{th} day and cyst accumulation reached a maximum of 0.475 ± 0.007 mg/ml on the 29\textsuperscript{th} day. In S2, the microalgae showed maximum cell growth of 0.122 ± 0.003 mg/ml by the 5\textsuperscript{th} day, much reduced compared to standard media and modified. However, microalgae cultured in S2 showed astaxanthin induction from the 3\textsuperscript{rd} day, reaching a stationary cyst accumulation of 0.233 ± 0.002 mg/ml by the 7\textsuperscript{th} day. S3 did not support \textit{Haematococcus} growth, with cell density decreasing from the 1\textsuperscript{st} day onwards. The formulation GroAst media (60\% 60x diluted S1 and 40\% S2) showed similar cell growth as modified S1, with a maximum of 0.688 ± 0.002 mg/ml on the 13\textsuperscript{th} day. Astaxanthin induction started on the 9\textsuperscript{th} day, with cyst accumulation reaching 0.478 ± 0.005 mg/ml on the 21\textsuperscript{st} day, and a maximum of 0.634 ± 0.009 mg/ml on the 26\textsuperscript{th} day. Figure 3.5 shows the \textit{H. pluvialis} growth and astaxanthin accumulation in GroAst media.
Figure 3.4: (a) Growth curve of *H. pluvialis* in culture medium formulated from wastewater, expressed as total cell density measured by OD (670 nm). (b) Cyst accumulation curve in culture medium formulated from wastewater, expressed as cyst density measured by OD (450 nm).
3.3.3. Screening of effective medium for cell disruption

3.3.3.1. Effect of cell-disrupting chemicals

Figure 3.6 shows that pretreating the microalgal cells with ultrasonication coupled with sodium hydroxide (2M) treatment results in the maximum cell disruption, enabling intensified astaxanthin extraction; this level of disruption is followed by treatments with hydrogen peroxide, acetic acid, and citric acid, in the decreasing order of efficacy. Figure 3.6 shows the microscopic observations of the cell at different stages. First in the vegetative (green) stage, at the onset of astaxanthin induction (Fig. 3.6a). Then the encysted cells, still intact and dark red, with no signs of shredding or pitting on the thick cell wall (Fig. 3.6b). After ultrasonication with sodium hydroxide, the encysted cells become less intense in color, are damaged and some cells whiten (Fig. 3.6c), indicating that the cell walls have been broken down and the cell is ready for astaxanthin extraction by solvents. The morphologies of the cell that underwent ultrasonication with citric acid showed a small extent of damage (Fig. 3.6f), whereas the cells pretreated with hydrogen peroxide and acetic acid showed more substantial damage and some white cells (Figs. 3.6d and 3.6e).
Figure 3.6: Microscopic observation of *H. pluvialis* cells in a) vegetative stage, b) cyst stage (without pretreatment), c) after pretreatment with sodium hydroxide (2M), d) after pretreatment with hydrogen peroxide (2M), e) after pretreatment with acetic acid (5M), and f) after pretreatment with citric acid (5M).
The optical density (OD) of the supernatant indicates the degree of cell disruption, and by extension the density of carotenoids (including astaxanthin) extracted into the solution. The OD curve, obtained over a varying time range (5-35 min) for the cell disruption chemicals is shown in Figure 3.7a. With the increase in the ultrasonication time, more cell disruption occurs, as indicated by the increase in the OD value as a function of extraction time. Ultrasonication with sodium hydroxide showed maximum OD, followed by hydrogen peroxide, acetic acid, and citric acid. These results are in agreement with the astaxanthin content reported in Table 3.2, where extractability (based on DMSO as the control) and RACI % (based on HCl-ACE as the control) have been estimated. Increments of 62.8 ± 0.006% astaxanthin recovery and 80.6 ± 0.07% extractability are achieved with pretreatment with sodium hydroxide followed by methanol extraction, as compared to the controls (HCl-ACE and DMSO, respectively).
Figure 3.7: (a) Effect of pretreatment chemicals and pretreatment time on cell disruption, expressed as OD after solvent (methanol) extraction. (b) Effect of sodium hydroxide concentration and pretreatment time on cell disruption, expressed as OD after solvent (methanol) extraction.
### Table 3.2: Astaxanthin Content, Extractability, and RACI Estimation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extraction Process (Mechanism w/ Chemical w/ Solvent)</th>
<th>TAC (mg/g DW)</th>
<th>Extractability % (Based on Control 1)</th>
<th>RACI % (Based on Control 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control 1 (DMSO)</td>
<td>1.144 ± 0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2 (HCl-ACE)</td>
<td>0.343 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Acetone</td>
<td>0.151 ± 0.001</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>-56.0 (negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Ethanol</td>
<td>0.211 ± 0.001</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>-38.5 (negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Methanol</td>
<td>0.362 ± 0.011</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Citric Acid (5M) w/ Methanol</td>
<td>0.212 ± 0.009</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>-38.2 (negative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Acetic Acid (5M) w/ Methanol</td>
<td>0.445 ± 0.006</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Sodium Hydroxide (2M) w/ Methanol</td>
<td>0.923 ± 0.006</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Hydrogen Peroxide (2M) w/ Methanol</td>
<td>0.623 ± 0.016</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>81.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.3.2. Effect of sodium hydroxide concentration and extraction time

Figure 3.7 shows the effect of the concentration of sodium hydroxide and of extraction duration on cell disruption and carotenoid extraction, as indicated by OD. Maximum OD of 0.484 ± 0.025 was achieved in 25 min at 2M sodium hydroxide. From the Figure 3.7b, it can be observed that the OD increased with increasing concentration and peaked at 2M. Higher concentration of 3M seems to impose an inversed effect on the cell disruption, with a significantly reduced OD. It can be seen that when the extraction time was increased above 25 min, the OD for astaxanthin begins to reverse to lower levels.
3.3.3.3. Effect of solvents coupled with ultrasonication

Ultrasonication coupled with chemical treatment of the cells, followed by solvent extraction, resulted in 65%-213% more astaxanthin recovery in comparison to ultrasonication and solvent extraction alone (Table 3.3). Ethanol and methanol were found to be effective solvents for the extraction of astaxanthin, followed by acetone (Figure 3.8). Using acetone with pretreated cells resulted in $0.472 \pm 0.002$ mg/g DW astaxanthin extraction, whereas methanol resulted in $0.923 \pm 0.006$ mg/g DW astaxanthin.

**Table 3.3: Comparison of astaxanthin content extracted from ultrasonicated (25 min) microalgal cells (a) not pretreated and (b) pretreated with 2M sodium hydroxide.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Extraction Process without Pretreatment</th>
<th>(b) Extraction Process with Pretreatment</th>
<th>TAC (mg/g DW)</th>
<th>Pretreatment enhancement (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Acetone</td>
<td>US w/ NaOH w/Acetone</td>
<td>0.151 ± 0.001</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Ethanol</td>
<td>US w/ NaOH w/Ethanol</td>
<td>0.211 ± 0.001</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US w/ Methanol</td>
<td>US w/ NaOH w/Methanol</td>
<td>0.362 ± 0.011</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.472 ± 0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.349 ± 0.017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.923 ± 0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3.8: Astaxanthin extraction amounts using different intensified approaches compared to controls; in order of increasing TAC; NaOH = 2M.

3.4. Discussion

The present study aims to develop a greener process to produce astaxanthin, using the approach of culturing *H. pluvialis* in bioethanol waste streams, since the microalgae assimilate the nutrients present in the wastewater to grow and to accumulate high amount of carotenoids. This was investigated by formulating an optimum mixture of wastewater streams for maximum microalgal growth and astaxanthin accumulation. An additional objective was to intensify the cell disruption and astaxanthin extraction stages, to improve yield and minimize the use of harsh chemicals and solvents.
The composition of the culture medium affects the cell growth and the astaxanthin yield (García-Malea et al., 2009). In this study, ethanol production wastewaters were used as media. Their composition and characteristics are determined by the type of feedstock used in the production plant and the various process steps involved, i.e., a) milling, b) liquefaction, c) saccharification, and d) fermentation, as shown in Figure 3.9. The wastewater samples obtained for this study had very low pH values, in the range of 3.25–4.0; this was due to the saccharification step, where an acidic pH is required to activate the glucoamylase enzyme. Also, during this step, additional nitrogen and phosphorous is inputted, for the bioethanol production, because the nutrient level of the feedstock eventually is exhausted (Wilkie et al., 2000).

Figure 3.9: Schematic diagram of bioethanol production, wastewater generation, and proposed microalgal culture and astaxanthin production.
The thin stillage (sample S1) is the wastewater collected from the distillation unit, the first step in the separation of bioethanol from the waste residues, thus making it most concentrated and nutrient rich; the high density of solids is responsible for the high turbidity value (>1000 NTU), imparting it a yellow color. The high nitrogen content is due to the protein content of the corn kernel (12% of its composition), as well as additional nutrient added during the fermentation step.

Many inhibitors, such as furfurals, phenolic acids, lactic acid, and acetic acid, are also formed during fermentation, due to microbial contamination if a pH change occurs (Satyawali and Balakrishnan, 2008), thus contributing to the high BOD content of the wastewaters, in the range of 2.54-30.82 g/l. With such a nutrient-rich wastewater available readily, it can provide carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous for *H. pluvialis* growth, and provide acetate anions required for astaxanthin accumulation. Thus dependency on costly chemicals to prepare culture media can be eliminated. Also, via the proposed process, the nutrient-rich wastewater is put to a useful purpose with minimal processing, rather than being disposed of into waterways or undergoing evaporation to animal feed (Willington and Marten, 1982), thus making this process of astaxanthin production a potentially more sustainable route compared to conventional production.

Under favorable growth conditions, *H. pluvialis* remains in the green vegetative stage, where the accumulation of astaxanthin is low. The use of 60x diluted sample of thin stillage (modified S1) provided the essential nutrients in the correct amount to support algal growth during this stage. The process condensate’s (S2) lower nutrient content did not support *H. pluvialis* growth for an extended time, but its acetate anions may have anticipated the astaxanthin induction stage. The wastewater from the scrubber/dryer unit
(S3) did not support microalgal growth at all, as it is devoid of phosphorus. Phosphorous is an important nutrient for algal growth and is responsible for the formation of cell membranes and nucleic acids (Wang et al., 2014). Based on these results, it was decided to compose GroAst media using modified S1 and S2, to exploit the advantages of each (high nutrient content and high acetate content, respectively).

*H. pluvialis* cultured in modified S1 takes 11 days to start astaxanthin accumulation, upon exposure to continuous illumination, whereas the microalgae cultured in GroAst media starts astaxanthin accumulation on 9th day, reaching a maximum cell density of 0.634 ± 0.009 mg/ml on 26th day, which is 33.5 ± 0.009% more than the astaxanthin accumulated when culturing in modified S1 alone, and occurring 3 days earlier, thus making the process more intensified. The GroAst media contains acetate anions, which are responsible for the faster and enhanced red cyst formation. Kobayashi et al., 1993; M Kobayashi et al., 1997 linked the acetate effect on encystment to a higher C/N ratio, which otherwise became delayed or suppressed. The microalgae cultured in GroAst media was exposed to acetate ions as well as continuous illumination; (Jeon et al., 2006) showed this combination being effective in enhanced astaxanthin accumulation.

Sodium hydroxide is shown to be the most effective cell-disrupting chemical, coupled with ultrasonication pretreatment. (Mendes-Pinto et al., 2001) also reported that upon exposure to sodium hydroxide, microalgal cells undergo some distortion, and collapse upon longer treatment. (Kang and Sim, 2007) explained the mechanism of cell disruption due to sodium hydroxide as selective separation of free astaxanthin by saponification. This is demonstrated in Figure 3.10, which shows that the advantage of using sodium hydroxide can be attributed to the fact that the *trans* configuration is retained in the alcohol moiety of
the ester containing the chiral carbon, as the sodium hydroxide’s hydroxyl anion cleaves the carbonyl – oxygen bond instead of the alkyl – oxygen bond.

![Chemical structure of astaxanthin monoester and sodium hydroxide reaction](image)

**Figure 3.10: Mechanism of sodium hydroxide action (Ambati et al., 2014; Kang and Sim, 2007). Licensed and adapted from John Wiley and Sons, Biotechnology Progress, license number 3783210554285.**

Besides sodium hydroxide, the effect of citric acid and acetic acid on cell disruption has been studied by Gogate and Nadar, 2015, who attribute the disruption efficiency to the acidic intensity of the acid. They reported that acetic acid (pK$_a$ = 4.75) resulted in greater cell disruption in comparison to citric acid (pK$_a$ = 4.98), owing to the former’s lower pK$_a$ value. Their findings support the results of this study. Schroeder and Johnson, 1995 reported that hydrogen peroxide is effective in cell disruption of yeast, but also stated that though cell disruption occurred due to the scavenging activity of the peroxyl radicals, astaxanthin degradation also took place. The critical review by Boon et al., 2010 reports that acid and singlet oxygen results in astaxanthin degradation by carbocation and thermal
degradation, respectively. Hence, sodium hydroxide proves to be the most appropriate option for microalgal cell disruption.

In the present study, by combining ultrasonication with alkali treatment, the amount of alkali required has been optimized at 2M NaOH, with 25 min extraction time. A bath sonicator, as reportedly used in literature, offered a non-uniform distribution of cavitations in the system, leading to low and uneven intensity environment, which resulted in longer extraction time for the desired outcome because of the mass transfer resistance (Ruen-ngam et al., 2010). So, using an ultrasound probe (horn), instead of a bath, provides a high and evenly distributed intensity environment, which results in shorter extraction time. However, increasing the alkali concentration beyond 2M or extraction time above 25 min could cause degradation of astaxanthin. This can be caused by localized hot spots created due to ultrasonic cavitation. A similar trend of decrease in astaxanthin content with an increase of ultrasonication time has been reported by Dong et al., 2014. Optimizing the alkali concentration to 2M and limiting the extraction time to 25 min makes this extraction process intensified, as chemical consumption and processing time are minimized.

Ethanol and methanol were found to be effective solvents for extraction of astaxanthin. Acetone was found to be least effective in extraction, which can be attributed to the fact that acetone is less polar in comparison to the other solvents, resulting in a lower degree of recovery. The difference between acetone and the other two alcohols used in the study can also be explained on the basis of polarity. The extent of extraction of astaxanthin will depend on the selectivity of the solvent, which is higher for the case of methanol due to its polar nature (Ranjan et al., 2010). As reported by Ruen-ngam et al., the dielectric constant, which is a measure of polarity, of methanol (32.6) is more than that of ethanol.
(24.6) and acetone (20.7). Higher extraction of astaxanthin in methanol as compared to acetone clearly indicates that solvent selectivity is the dominant factor in deciding the extent of extraction. The polar – non-polar – polar structure of astaxanthin, with hydroxyl and keto moieties at free ends, makes it easier for the polar methanol to extract astaxanthin to a greater extent (Yang et al., 2013). Moreover, methanol is a GRAS (Generally Regarded As Safe) Class 2 solvent approved by the FDA, which makes it a suitable additive in view of process safety.

The experimental data in this study showed that integration of ultrasonication with chemical pretreatment and solvent extraction is more effective than using ultrasonication with solvents alone. The HCl-ACE method (Dong et al., 2014) used as a control showed 0.343 ± 0.002 mg/g DW of extracted astaxanthin, while the maximum astaxanthin extracted by ultrasound (US)-solvent (methanol) method was 0.362 ± 0.011 mg/g DW (Figure 5), demonstrating a small difference between the control and this combination, as the thick cell-wall of the algae is one of the factors that hinders pigment recovery. However, intensification of the extraction process was possible when ultrasonication and alkali pretreatment were synergized with solvent extraction; astaxanthin yield increased by an enhancement factor of 155 % for US w/ NaOH w/ methanol method in comparison to US w/ methanol method, as shown in Table 3. The ultrasonication treatment with sodium hydroxide leads to the formation of cavitation in solution, whereby the adjacent microalgal cells undergo cell disruption due to the localized high temperatures and pressures, in the presence of sodium hydroxide. Since the high temperature is localized, the astaxanthin in the cell and in the solution is minimally exposed to degrading temperatures. Hence, the use
of ultrasonication does not lead to noticeable loss of astaxanthin caused by thermal degradation.

3.5. Conclusions

This work demonstrated that culturing *Haematococcus pluvialis* in bioethanol wastewater streams can be a greener alternative to conventional media, as it not only puts the readily available wastewaters to better use, but also eliminates the dependency on synthetic chemicals for culture media preparation. The maximal growth of *H. pluvialis* (vegetative cells) was obtained in 60x diluted thin stillage, and maximal astaxanthin accumulation was obtained in GroAst media (60% 60x thin stillage, and 40% acetate-rich process condensate), coupled with continuous illumination (to induce astaxanthin production). The total astaxanthin content extracted from *H. pluvialis* after ultrasonication using several cell-disrupting chemicals differed significantly. The best extraction method in terms of extraction efficiency was ultrasonication with sodium hydroxide pretreatment, followed by methanol extraction (US w/ NaOH w/ methanol). Astaxanthin extraction using ultrasonication proved advantageous in terms of short extraction time (25 min), less chemical consumption (2M NaOH), GRAS solvent usage (methanol), high efficiency in cell disruption, high extraction yield (80.6 ± 0.005%) and suitability to extract thermolabile astaxanthin. The use of ultrasound at industrial scale, however, still needs to be verified, as high energy consumption and scalability limitations may not make it the economically optimal technique for astaxanthin extraction. As all the results obtained in this study were from lab-scale cultivation and extraction, future work on optimizing the culture and the extraction conditions at pilot scale might lead to the eventual development of intensified and greener protocols for astaxanthin production at a commercial level.
CHAPTER IV - CHARACTERIZATION OF THE *Haematococcus pluvialis* RESIDUAL BIOMASS, OBTAINED AFTER ASTAXANTHIN EXTRACTION, AS A POTENTIAL BIOFUEL FEEDSTOCK.

4.1. Introduction

The previous chapter reported about the culture of *H. pluvialis* in bioethanol waste stream (Thin stillage and Process condensate), and the growth media, known as the GroAst media (60% of 60 times diluted thin stillage and 40% of process condensate) which supported astaxanthin production. It also reported that the ultrasonication of the encysted cells with 2M NaOH for 25 min, for cell disruption, followed by extraction with methanol, resulted in high extraction yield (80.6 ± 0.005%). In this chapter, *H. pluvialis* culture was carried out in GroAst media, using a 2.2 L Air-lift photobioreactor.

Not only *H. pluvialis* can utilize the nutrient present in the wastewater for their growth, but it can also help in CO₂ mitigation. The fermentation unit, of the bioethanol production process, produces CO₂, which is a greenhouse gas (GHG). There has been an increase in the GHG emission due to industrial activities. Hence many restrictive regulations are being implemented by the industrial sector to reduce the accelerating global warming issue. The annual report submitted by Environment Canada 2014, mentions how mitigation of GHG emission is the current topic of concern, and business sector, provinces, and territories are setting an emission threshold. Not only this, but the industrial sector is also contributing to this environmental cause by making use of greener and cleaner technologies (EC, 2014). In this chapter, an Air-lift photobioreactor will be supplemented with CO₂ gas, to support *H. pluvialis* growth.
It is well known that microalgae are seen as a potential bioenergy feedstock (Jiang et al., 2011; Rosana et al., 2013) and there are many papers available that have investigated about energy production from microalgal biomass (Chisti, 2007; Ehimen et al., 2009). In the previous chapter, a protocol (Figure 4.1) for astaxanthin production was proposed, which can be integrated with bioethanol production plant, thus adding an extra revenue stream along with wastewater utilization. However, after astaxanthin extraction, residual biomass was left behind, and it will be desirable to use this residual *Haematococcus* biomass for bioethanol energy production, so as to make the whole process more integrated and intensified.

Figure 4.1: Schematic diagram of bioethanol production, wastewater generation, and proposed microalgal culture with CO₂, astaxanthin production, and residual biomass utilization.
Though many works of literature are available on microalgae as a source of bioenergy, very few papers can be found on using *Haematococcus pluvialis* as a bioenergy fuel, as *H. pluvialis* is an efficient producer of astaxanthin rather than bioenergy. However, literature does report that *H. pluvialis* is rich in lipids as well as hexoses. Astaxanthin’s content within the algal cell makes up only a fraction of total cell mass (0.5-4.0%), and Hagen et al., 2002 mentions that the cellular composition of the red cyst comprises of 70% carbohydrates (66% Hexoses and 3% celluloses) and 6% proteins, so after astaxanthin extraction, a significant amount of residual biomass is left behind. To use this residual *Haematococcus* biomass, understanding the composition of the biomass to decide to how and where this can be useful. Damiani et al., 2010 analyzed the lipid content of *H. pluvialis* and found that the main component of the algal oil was palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic, linolenic, and linolelaidic acids. The physical and chemical characteristics of the residual *Haematococcus* biomass can be analyzed using Proximate and Ultimate Analysis, Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and FTIR, to evaluate this residue as a potential feedstock for biofuel production (Bi and He, 2013).

In this chapter, the purpose is specifically aimed to attain high *H. pluvialis* culture using wastewater, in an air-lift photobioreactor (AL – PBR). In an air-lift photobioreactor, the bubbles are dispersed without mechanical agitation. Thus cells with low mechanical strength do not suffer high shear stress. Also, during the cultivation of photosynthetic cells, oxygen acts as an inhibitor, so the air bubbles dispersion ensures the removal of oxygen and enhances the mass transfer rate, hence preventing the settling of cells (Kaewpintong et al., 2007; Ranjbar et al., 2008). Although aeration is an essential parameter to provide a proper mixing in the ALR, it should be maintained at a minimal level to avoid shear stress.
The main objective of this research study is to answer the following research questions:

- Can high-density production of *H. pluvialis* result in wastewater utilization?
- Is *H. pluvialis* able to take up CO$_2$ in the bioethanol production effluent?
- Is the residual *Haematococcus* biomass a good source for bioenergy fuel? If yes, then which characteristics makes it a potential source?

4.2. Materials and Methods

4.2.1. Microorganism, media, and cultivation condition

*H. pluvialis* CPCC 93 strains were obtained from the Canadian Phycological Culture Centre. The stock cultures were maintained on modified M1B5, a modification of the media used by Tocquin et al., 2012: 0.5 ml/L Flora Micro and 2.5 ml/L Flora Bloom (General Hydroponics). The cultures were maintained at pH 7 and incubated at 23 ± 2°C, 12h/12h light/dark cycle (600W MH light) with gentle agitation twice a day.

The wastewater sample was procured from a local bioethanol plant, where corn kernels are used as the raw material. The wastewater samples used were a) the thin stillage (S1) obtained from the distillation unit, and b) the process condensate (S2) obtained from the evaporation unit of the production plant. GroAst media was formulated using 60% modified S1 and 40% S3. In the previous study it was seen that 60 times diluted S1 (denoted as modified S1) supported maximum algal growth, and once the green cells reached the stationary phase of the growth curve, S2 addition and continuous light (30 klx) resulted in astaxanthin induction (Haque et al., 2016).
4.2.2. Enhanced Biomass Production of *H. pluvialis*

4.2.2.1. Effect of CO₂ on algal growth in an air - lift batch photobioreactor

*H. pluvialis* culture was carried out in a 2.2 L AL-PBR. Figure 4.2a demonstrates the experimental set up and Figure 4.2b shows the PBR used for the study. The column was made up of glass (11.2 cm internal diameter and 22.5 cm height), with a draft tube (5.6 cm internal diameter and 11 cm height) centrally installed inside it. Prior to each experiment, the column reactor, and the draft tube were sterilized by spraying with isopropyl alcohol (70%), and the draft tube was installed within the column reactor inside the fume hood to prevent contamination. The AL-PBR was filled with 1500 ml GroAst media and 150 ml starter inoculum (a four-day old seed culture). The CO₂ gas cylinder (purity 99.99%, Linde) and the air pump (Tetra, Whisper 10) were connected as shown in Figure 4.2a, and the gas flow was controlled by a flow meter with a control valve (Key Instruments, Cole-Parmer, CA). This CO₂ and air mixture entering through the base of the AL-PBR at a speed of 45 ml/min provides sufficient mixing to keep the cells in suspension. The concentration of CO₂ studied were 2.5%, 5%, and 10%. For the control, only compressed air was introduced inside the AL-PBR. The initial pH of the media was maintained at ~7 and the AL-PBR was placed inside a temperature-controlled, illuminated 3’ X 3’ Expert growth tent at 23 ± 2°C and 12h / 12h light / dark cycle (600W MH light). The growth tent is made up of reflective 600D Mylar fabric which helped to maintain even lighting conditions and prevented the effect of any other light source on the reactor. The distance between the light source and the AL-PBR was maintained at 70 cm. The average illumination intensity incident on the outer surface was measured using a digital lux meter (Fisher Scientific) and it was maintained at 15 klx for growth conditions (green phase) and
30 klx for astaxanthin induction (red phase). CO₂ not only act as a carbon source for the photoautotrophic growth of *H. pluvialis* but the CO₂ bubbles help in sufficient mixing inside the PBR.

**Figure 4.2:** (a) Experimental Set up for *H. pluvialis* culture.

**Figure 4.2:** (b) The photobioreactor used for the study.
4.2.2.2. Astaxanthin extraction

Astaxanthin extraction was carried out by pretreating the cyst cells using ultrasonication with sodium hydroxide, followed by methanol extraction (Haque et al., 2016). 50 ml of the algal samples were taken and centrifuged (Thermo Scientific Sorvall ST 24) at 5,000 g for 15 min. Cells were dried overnight at room temperature. To the dry cells, 200 ml of 2M NaOH was added and ultrasonicated using VC 750 ultrasonic processor (Sonics & Materials Inc.) of the maximum power rating of 750 W, and operating frequency of 20 kHz. An ultrasonic probe (horn) of 1.3 cm diameter was used for 25 min at 35% amplitude. The solution was centrifuged to recover the cell pellets, and to 20 mg of the dry cells, 40 ml of methanol (99.8%) was added. After four hours, the sample was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 15 min. The cells were dried overnight at room temperature and weighed using an analytical balance (Mettler Toledo). The total astaxanthin extracted (TAE) was estimated using a mass balance on the initial weight of the algal sample and the final weight after extraction. TAE was expressed using the formula:

$$\text{TAE (mg/g)} = \frac{\text{Initial weight (mg)} - \text{Final weight (mg)}}{\text{Initial weight (g)}}$$

All chemicals used are Fisher Scientific analytical grade.
4.2.3. Analysis

4.2.3.1. Growth and astaxanthin accumulation curve estimation

For the analysis of cell growth and astaxanthin accumulation, 10 ml of the algal broths were centrifuged at 5,000 g for 15 minutes; the supernatant was discarded, and the dry weight was determined after drying the cell pellet overnight at room temperature. The pellet was homogenized in pure acetone, and absorbance was measured, using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 20 Visible, Thermo Fisher Scientific), at 670 nm and 450 nm for determination of microalgal cell growth and astaxanthin accumulation, respectively, based on optical density (OD) calibration. Specific growth rate (µ day\(^{-1}\)) was determined using the following formula (L. Shuler and Kargi, 2002):

\[
µ = \frac{\ln (DW_i) - \ln (DW_0)}{t_i - t_0}
\]

Where \(DW_0\) and \(DW_i\) is the dry weight (g/l) of the algal sample on the initial day \((t_0)\) and day \(t_i\), respectively. Also, pH of the media was measured at the end of the lag phase, exponential phase, and stationary phase to analyze the effect of CO\(_2\) concentration on the pH of the media.

4.2.3.2. Initial and Final Wastewater characterization

The wastewater samples were characterized on the basis of pH, total nitrogen (TN), total organic carbon (TOC), total phosphate (TP) and acetic acid. These parameters were tested for a) the Thin Stillage (S1) and the Process Condensate (S2) initially, b) the modified S1 (60 times diluted), i.e., GroAst media during the green phase, c) the GroAst media during the red phase, i.e., when 40% S2 is added to 60% modified S1, and d) the final media, denoted as the residual media, which was obtained at the end of the
experimental run. The sample was centrifuged at 5,000 g for 15 min, and the supernatant was analyzed. Nutrient removal (%) was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{ Nutrient Removal} = \frac{[\text{Nutrient}]_{\text{final}} - [\text{Nutrient}]_{\text{initial}}}{[\text{Nutrient}]_{\text{initial}}} \times 100
\]

Where, \([\text{Nutrient}]_{\text{final}}\) and \([\text{Nutrient}]_{\text{initial}}\) is the nutrient composition (g/l) of the GroAst media finally, and initially, respectively.

4.2.3.3. Microcystin Detection Test

For the quantitative laboratory detection of Microcystin (MC) toxin in algal samples, the EnviroLogix QuantiPlate Kit was used, which uses the principle of a competitive Enzyme – Linked Immuno Sorbent Assay (ELISA). The experiment was carried out as mentioned in the kit manual (Catalog number EP 022, EnviroLogix), using a Negative control and standard Microcystin (0.16 ppb, 0.6 ppb, and 2.5 ppb) as calibrators. The OD was measured at 450 nm using a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Multiskan Go Microplate Spectrophotometer, Thermo Fisher Scientific). A standard curve was obtained by plotting \% Bo and the calibrator’s concentration on a semi-log graph, where,

\[
\% \text{Bo} = \frac{\text{Average OD of calibrator or sample}}{\text{Average OD of Negative Control}} \times 100
\]

The calibration curve was used to determine the microcystin concentration in the algal sample. The green as well as the red cells of \(H. \text{pluvialis}\), cultured in a) the modified M1B5, and b) the GroAst media, were tested for microcystin’s presence. The detection limit of this ELISA kit is 0.16 ppb MC.
4.2.3.4. Residual algal biomass characterization

4.2.3.4.1. Proximate and Ultimate Analysis, and High Heating value (HHV)

ASTM standards were used to perform the proximate analysis (ash, volatile matter and fixed carbon) of the residual biomass. A measured amount of the sample was placed in the muffle furnace (Thermo Scientific- F48055-60, Waltham, MA) maintained at 103 ± 2°C for at least 15 h and then cooled in a desiccator, containing silica gel. The samples were then re-weighed, and the change in initial and final weight was expressed as percentage moisture (ASTM-E871). The dried samples were then burnt at 575°C for 5hrs in a muffle furnace to determine percentage ash (ASTM-E1755). For determining the volatile matter (VM), the sample was heated at 950°C for 7mins (ASTM- E872). The fixed carbon was calculated by the difference in the remaining percentages. The elemental analyzer (Thermo Fisher Flash EA 1112, Waltham, MA) was used to perform the ultimate analysis (carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), Sulphur (S), and Oxygen (O)) of the samples.

The higher heating values (HHV) of the samples were determined using IKA-C200 bomb calorimeter (Wilmington, NC). All the samples were oven dried at 105°C for 24hrs before analysis. Approximately 0.5g of the sample was placed in the steel container fitted with a ceramic crucible. The pure oxygen at 30 bars was used to pressurize the adiabatic vessel, and a cotton thread connected to an ignition wire in the steel container was used to ignite the sample.
4.2.3.4.2. FTIR Analysis

FTIR spectrum of the dried algal sample was recorded on Varian 660 IR (Agilent Technology) at room temperature. A region of 4000-400 cm$^{-1}$ was used for scanning.

4.2.3.4.3. Thermal Analysis

To study the combustion behavior of the residual biomass sample, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed. The dried residual biomass was run in a TG/DT analyzer (Thermo Scientific Nicolet 700 FTIR) in a nitrogen atmosphere at heating rates of 10 °C/min. Approximately 10 mg of the sample was heated at the preselected heating rate from ambient temperature to 750 °C. Nitrogen gas of high purity (99.99%) was supplied at a constant flow rate of 100 ml/min as an inert purge gas. Nitrogen gas eliminates unwanted oxidation of the sample by displacing the air in the pyrolytic zone. The weight loss with an increase in temperature is recorded and the TGA –DTG (derivative) curves were plotted.

4.2.3.5. Data Analysis

All readings were taken in triplicates and results were represented along with standard deviation.

The data, in the graphs, have been represented along with standard errors.
4.3. Results

4.3.1. Effect of CO₂ on *H. pluvialis* growth

Figure 4.3 shows the growth curve and astaxanthin accumulation curve at different CO₂ concentrations. It can be seen that as the CO₂ concentration is increased from atmospheric CO₂ to 5%, there is an increase in growth of algal cells. For 5% CO₂ concentration, maximum growth absorbance of 0.55 ± 0.02 was obtained on the 8th day, after which, algal culture was exposed to continuous light stress, and maximum astaxanthin accumulation (absorbance of 0.41 ± 0.01) was observed on the 15th day with total astaxanthin extracted (TAE) being 38 ± 2.55 mg/g. As the CO₂ concentration is increased to 10%, a decline in the growth occurs. The 10% CO₂ gas supplies more CO₂ than required for sufficient growth, and excess nutrients are not good for the cell survival. Samples grown under atmospheric CO₂ concentration gas supply showed less growth compared to the samples supplied with 2.5% and 5% CO₂, so it can be said that CO₂ availability may have been the limiting factor for culture growth. Samples cultivated with 2.5% and 5% CO₂ gas supply showed similar growth patterns.
Figure 4.3: Growth and astaxanthin accumulation curve at different concentration of CO$_2$ gas supply.

Figure 4.4 shows that the sample supplemented with 5% CO$_2$ gas supply reached the highest biomass production (4.37 ± 0.07 g/l) and the astaxanthin rich dried biomass is shown in Figure 4.5. The change in the pH of the GroAst media under the effect of different concentration of CO$_2$ is summarized in Table 4.1, along with the specific growth rate. When only air is supplied, the pH increased from 7 to 9.64 by the end of the stationary phase and a biomass density of 2.028 ± 0.09 g/l was obtained. However, when the growth media was supplied with 5% CO$_2$, the pH changed to 6.32 at the end of the experimental
run and the maximum biomass density was achieved on the 11th day ($T_{\text{max}}$) with a specific growth rate of 0.317 day$^{-1}$. 10% CO$_2$ supply resulted in an acidic media (pH 3.91) and a stunted algal growth.

Figure 4.4: Biomass density at different CO$_2$ gas supply.

Figure 4.5: Dried astaxanthin rich *H. pluvialis* biomass.
Table 4.1: pH change and specific growth rate at different CO₂ concentrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CO₂ Concentration</th>
<th>Initial pH</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>T max (day)</th>
<th>DW max (g/l)</th>
<th>Specific Growth Rate (day⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lag phase</td>
<td>Exponential phase</td>
<td>Stationary Phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.91</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2. Comparison of initial and final characteristics of the wastewater media

Table 4.2 shows the nutrient composition of the wastewater samples (S1 and S3) and the GroAst media used for astaxanthin production, along with the amount of nutrient removal at the end of the experimental run. It can be seen that the modified S1 sample has the similar nutrient composition to that of the standard media, modified M1B5. The GroAst media contained 0.215 g/l of acetic acid, which is devoid in the standard media, and hence additional supplement of acetic acid is not required. *H. pluvialis* culture resulted in 91.67% total nitrogen removal and 100% total phosphorus removal. 42.33% acetic acid was consumed for astaxanthin synthesis and the final media contained 32.99% of total organic carbon.
Table 4.2: Wastewater characterization and percentage nutrient removal. (S1 = Thin Stillage, S2 = Process Condensate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>Modified S1</th>
<th>Modified M1B5</th>
<th>GroAst media</th>
<th>Residual media</th>
<th>Nutrient Removal (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (g/l)</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>91.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC (g/l)</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>67.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (g/l)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic Acid (g/l)</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.0144</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>42.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3. Microcystin Detection Test

Figure 4.6 shows the calibration curve for microcystin. Using this curve, the concentration of microcystin in the *H. pluvialis* sample has been calculated and tabulated in Table 4.3, along with the % B₀ values. The Negative control has 100% B₀ because it does not contain any MC, so during the ELISA test, the maximum amount of MC-Enzyme conjugate binds to the antibody. Also, it can be seen that *H. pluvialis* samples show a % B₀ value in the range of 92.01 – 93.05 %, which is very close to that of the negative control (i.e., 100% B₀), and they also showed negative results for microcystin test.
Figure 4.6: Microcystin calibration Curve. (x = % B₀ and y = Microcystin concentration)

Table 4.3: Microcystin concentration in the *H. pluvialis* sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>% B₀</th>
<th>Microcystin Concentration ppb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Control</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrator 0.16 ppb</td>
<td>57.60</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrator 0.6 ppb</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibrator 2.5 ppb</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pluvialis</em> cultured in modified M1B5 media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Cells</td>
<td>93.05</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cells</td>
<td>90.79</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. pluvialis</em> cultured in GroAst media</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Cells</td>
<td>92.01</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red cells</td>
<td>92.08</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.4. Characterization of residual *H. pluvialis* biomass

4.3.4.1. Proximate and Ultimate Analysis

The characterization of *H. pluvialis* biomass as a biofuel feedstock is itemized in Table 4.4. The ash content, volatile matter, moisture content and fixed carbon of the algal biomass is $5.43 \pm 0.25\%$, $71.28 \pm 0.27\%$, $7.93 \pm 0.12\%$ and $15.36 \pm 0.56\%$, respectively.
The ultimate analysis shows that the residual biomass has 43.57% Carbon, 6.26% Hydrogen, 48.04% Oxygen, 1.97% Nitrogen and very little Sulphur (0.47%). This helps to understand the elemental composition of the sample and the empirical formula of the residual algal biomass is found to be $C_{3.63}H_{6.26}N_{0.14}S_{0.01}O_3$. The H/C an O/C molar ratios (on the ash free dry basis) were calculated from the elemental composition as 1.72 and 0.83, respectively. The HHV of the un-extracted algal biomass is measured to be 22.78 MJ/kg, whereas the HHV of the post extracted residual biomass is 11.31 MJ/kg, which is almost half of that of the astaxanthin rich algal biomass.

**Table 4.4: Characterization of residual *Haematococcus* Biomass.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th><em>Haematococcus pluvialis</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HHV (MJ/kg) of astaxanthin-rich algal biomass</td>
<td>22.78 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV (MJ/kg) of residual biomass</td>
<td>11.31 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula (on ash free basis)</td>
<td>$C_{3.63}H_{6.26}N_{0.14}S_{0.01}O_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H/C molar ratio (on ash free basis)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/C molar ratio (on ash free basis)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elemental analysis (wt. %) Carbon</td>
<td>43.57 ± 0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>1.98 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>48.04 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximate analysis (wt. %) Moisture</td>
<td>7.93 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile matter</td>
<td>71.28 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Carbon</td>
<td>15.36 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>5.43 ± 0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.4.2. FTIR Spectra

FTIR spectroscopy helps in the rapid analysis of algal biomass and offers a viable screening approach to determine the biofuel potential of algae. The FTIR spectrum of the *H. pluvialis* algal biomass and residual biomass is shown in Figure 4.7. The identification of the unknown components is derived by comparing the bands of the recorded FTIR spectrum with those available in the literature (Bi and He, 2013; Ceylan and Goldfarb, 2015; Serio et al.; Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015), and has been summarized in Table 4.5. It reveals the presence of –OH, -COOH and CO organic functional groups:

- 900 – 1,400 cm\(^{-1}\): Phenols and alcoholic groups;
- 1,500 – 1,800 cm\(^{-1}\): Carboxyl group;
- 3,200 – 3,400 cm\(^{-1}\): Hydroxyl group;

![Figure 4.7: FTIR Spectrum of astaxanthin-rich algae and residual biomass.](image)
In the spectrum of the residual biomass, the band at 3,268 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the O-H stretching. The weak band centered at 2,933 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the presence of asymmetric C-H stretching vibrations, which is likely due to the lipid’s methylene group. The increase in the peaks at 1,982 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to the carboxylic stretch, and this confirms a greater concentration of fatty acids. A sharp peak at 1,652 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to C=O amide stretching from proteins present in the residual algal biomass. The peak spanning between 1,800 – 1,500 cm\(^{-1}\) is the characteristic bands for proteins and 1,456 – 1,402 cm\(^{-1}\) is likely due to C-H stretching vibration in methyl, methylene and methyne groups. A twin absorbance peak at 1,078 cm\(^{-1}\) and 985 cm\(^{-1}\) are likely the C-O and C-O-H deformation in the secondary and tertiary alcohol or aliphatic ethers. The C-O-H vibrational stretching suggests the presence of polysaccharides (Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015).

It is clear that the intensity of the absorbance corresponding to polysaccharides (985 cm\(^{-1}\)) is much higher than the one for the lipids (2,933 cm\(^{-1}\)). Also, the intensity of the spectra of the residual biomass is lower than the un-extracted biomass. There is a general decrease in the lipid and protein content as can be seen in the 3,000-2,800 cm\(^{-1}\) region and 1,800-1,400 cm\(^{-1}\) region, respectively. It is evident from the FTIR spectrum of \(H.\) \textit{pluvialis} residual biomass that distinct fingerprint regions for triglycerides, lipids and polysaccharides exist in the biomass.
Table 4.5: Characteristic bands observed in the FTIR spectrum of the biomass (Bi and He, 2013; Ceylan and Goldfarb, 2015; Serio et al., 1996; Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber cm(^{-1})</th>
<th>Functional Group</th>
<th>Species/compound/Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional groups assigned to peaks on solid H. pluvialis residual biomass surface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,268</td>
<td>-OH, N-H</td>
<td>Alcohol, Amine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,933</td>
<td>C-H</td>
<td>Methylene groups (Lipids)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,982</td>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>Aromatic conjugates, Carboxylic group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,371</td>
<td>C-O</td>
<td>Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,151</td>
<td>C-O-H</td>
<td>Phenols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,078 – 985</td>
<td>C-O, C-O-H</td>
<td>Alcohols, Esters, and polysaccharides</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.4.3. Thermal Analysis

4.3.4.3.1. TGA

The weight loss of the biomass can be seen from the TGA curve at with the temperature increase with time, and Figure 4.8 shows the TGA – DTG curve of the residual algal biomass at a heating rate of 10ºC/min. There are three distinct decomposition zones observed during the pyrolysis process of the microalgae:

- **Dehydration Zone:** This is the initial stage, at around 110 ºC, where the weight loss occurs due to the loss of moisture from the biomass.
- **Devolatilization Zone:** This is the second stage where the biomass sample loses the volatile matter content. Three overlapped peaks indicate this zone at 250 ºC, 320 ºC and 375 ºC. After the peak obtained at 110 ºC for moisture loss, two more stages of weight loss occur at 300 ºC and 420 ºC, in the vicinity of 350 ºC, which is believed to be lipid loss and some lignocellulosic polymer decomposition. Major
volatile matter loss, 72%, occurs at 300 °C and Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015 suggests that cellulose decomposition usually occurs between 290 °C – 390 °C.

- Solid Residue Decomposition Zone: This is the last stage, where slow decomposition of the solid residue, formed in the above step, occurs. As seen from Figure 4.8, beyond 450 °C, slow loss of weight continues until 750 °C. The final solid residue content obtained at the end of the process is 25.99%. The nitrogen gas, used as the inert purge gas for the TGA process, eliminates unwanted oxidation of the sample by displacing the air in the pyrolytic zone, hence it can be said that only the volatile matter are loss during the devolatilization zone, and the fixed carbon and ash forms the solid residue in the last stage. The result obtained for the final solid residue (25.99%) is similar to the results obtained for fixed carbon (15.36 ± 0.56 %) and ash content (5.43 ± 0.25 %) using proximate analysis (Table 4.4). However, there is a small difference in these numbers, which can be due to the different conditions at which TGA was carried out. For proximate analysis, ash content was determined by burning the sample in a muffle furnace at 575°C for 5 hours, however, TGA was carried out using nitrogen gas at 10°C / min for 75 min.

The main pyrolysis reaction takes place in between 110 °C and 450 °C, where the active pyrolysis zone is the region between 110 °C – 320 °C, and 320 °C – 420 °C region is the zone of passive pyrolysis which terminates at 450 °C. Along with the heating value of 10 °C/min, the thermogram of the residual biomass was also obtained at 20 °C/min and 30 °C/min (data not shown) and no significant difference was observed at these different heating values. Hence, this degradation profile of the algal biomass
suggests that it can be a potential biofuel feedstock and maybe suitable for thermo-chemical conversion (Phukan et al., 2011).

Figure 4.8: The TGA-DTG curve of residual biomass at 10 °C/min heating flow rate.

4.3.4.3.2. Analysis of the gas evolved during TGA process

The volatile compounds evolved during the TGA process were analyzed using FTIR. Figure 4.9 presents the 3D IR spectrum with respect to wavenumber (cm\(^{-1}\)), time (min) and absorbance. In the region between 2,000 – 2,300 cm\(^{-1}\) some significant peaks for C=O stretching of CO\(_2\) were obtained. The regions between 4,000 – 3,400 cm\(^{-1}\) give the characteristic signals for water (Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015).
Figure 4.9: FTIR Spectrum of the gasses evolved during the TGA process.

Table 4.6 summarizes the peaks and their corresponding functional groups, based on the literature (Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015). The main gaseous products from the pyrolysis were CO₂, CO, H₂O and some organics (a mixture of acids, aldehydes, alkanes, and ethers).

**Table 4.6: Characteristic bands observed in the evolved gas** (Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Functional Group</th>
<th>Species/compound/Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4,000 – 3,400</td>
<td>O-H</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,400 – 2,300</td>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>CO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,300 – 2,000</td>
<td>C-O</td>
<td>CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,900 – 1,650</td>
<td>C=O</td>
<td>Aldehydes, Acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,700 – 1,450</td>
<td>C=C</td>
<td>Aromatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,475 – 1,000</td>
<td>C-O, C-C</td>
<td>Alkanes, alcohols, phenols, ethers, lipids, CO₂</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4. Discussion

A high-density *H. pluvialis* culture was obtained using bioethanol waste stream, in an air-lift photobioreactor supplemented with 5% CO₂. The specific growth rate (0.317 day⁻¹) reported in this study is similar to the one reported by Kaewpintong et al., 2007 who achieved the maximum value of 0.45 day⁻¹ for a continuous culture and 0.31 day⁻¹ for a semi-continuous culture of *H. pluvialis* in an air-lift reactor. The highest biomass density obtained was 4.37 ± 0.07 g/l, which is slightly lower than the one achieved by Ranjbar et al., 2008 who reported 5 g/l cell concentration weight using modified basal media and 1 L bubble column reactor, but more than the 2.62 g/l achieved by Jun et al., 2012, who cultivated *H. pluvialis* in a 6 L bag type reactor supplemented with 2% CO₂. Both air and 10% CO₂ supply resulted in a moderate growth, however, atmospheric CO₂ supply resulted in a basic media (pH of 9.64) and 10% CO₂ supply led to an acidic media (pH of 3.91). The relation between CO₂ supply and algal growth can be attributed to the pH change. When CO₂ enriched air is bubbled into the culture media, the initial CO₂ (g) (gaseous) gets transferred to the CO₂ (aq) (liquid phase), and this CO₂(aq) reacts with water and carbonic acid (H₂CO₃) are formed, followed by the formation of bicarbonate (pK₁ 6.35), and carbonate (pK₂ 10.33) in the later stage (Stumm, 1996). Figure 4.10 shows the total concentration of dissolved carbonate species (C_T), i.e., collective concentration of carbonic acid, bicarbonates and carbonates species, in water along with the predefined pH values. At pH less than pK₁, carbonic acid species are the predominant species, and as the proton concentration is already very high at lower pH, solubility of carbonic acid in water decreases and this results in lower C_T value at acidic pH. At pK₁ < pH < pK₂, bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) is the predominant species and at pH > pK₂, carbonate (CO₃²⁻) is the predominant
species, and C\textsubscript{T} value further increases. Whereas CO\textsubscript{2} supply reduces the pH of the culture medium, photosynthesis raised the pH of the medium as CO\textsubscript{2} is consumed and this causes the carbonate species in the medium to be proportionately high. It is clear that CO\textsubscript{2} supply/consumption ratio plays a major role in maintaining a suitable pH of the medium. At atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} condition, the CO\textsubscript{2} consumption is higher than CO\textsubscript{2} supply, hence the pH of the medium increases to 9.64 at the end of the stationary phase, where carbonate species dominates, and the C\textsubscript{T} value is higher. At 5% CO\textsubscript{2} gas supply the rate of CO\textsubscript{2} supply is balanced by the photosynthetic CO\textsubscript{2} consumption, thereby the pH of the medium is close to 6.32, where carbonic acid and bicarbonate species both co-exist. However, at 10% CO\textsubscript{2} gas supply, the CO\textsubscript{2} supply exceeds the CO\textsubscript{2} consumption rate, hence the pH drops to a greater extent (3.91) and this carbonic acid rich acidic medium, do not support algal growth. It has been reported that a pH more than 10 or less than 5.5 is detrimental to the algal growth (Kang et al., 2005; Ranga Rao, 2011).
Figure 4.10: Variation of the Total dissolved carbonate concentration (CT) relative to pH (Adapted from Stumm, 1996).

The ability of *H. pluvialis* to utilize CO$_2$ for their growth can help in CO$_2$ (GHG) mitigation. Canada is responsible for approximately 1.6% of global GHG emissions, which is estimated by the Canadian Environment Protection Agency (CEPA) to be 283 megatons CO$_2$ equivalent in 2014 (EC, 2014). In addition to CO$_2$ uptake, *H. pluvialis* successfully utilized inorganic wastes, 91.67% total nitrogen and 100% total phosphorous, from the wastewater sample. These results are in accordance with Wu et al., 2013, who reported 93.8% N removal and 97.8% P removal when *H. pluvialis* were cultured in the domestic secondary effluent. Also, Kang et al., 2006 studied the biosynthesis of astaxanthin by cultivating *H. pluvialis* in primary treated sewage and primary treated piggery wastewater,
and the author reported that 4-8 fold dilution of primary treated piggery wastewater resulted in 100% N and P removal at the end of the process.

The *H. pluvialis* samples showed negative results for microcystin test (detection limit 0.16 ppb). According to the WHO guidelines for drinking water quality, the guiding value for the total MC is 1 ppb (WHO, 1998). Also, in 2014, EFSA (European Food and Safety Authority) published a report on the safety of their astaxanthin product, AstaREAL, which were produced from *H. pluvialis* and were being marketed at powder or encapsulated oil. These AstaREAL products were intended to be used in fermented and non-fermented liquid dairy products, fermented soya products and fruit drinks for healthy adults. Their study showed that their product AstaREAL is safe with no genotoxicity related concern (EFSA, 2014). Hence, it can be seen that astaxanthin production from *H. pluvialis* does not pose any threat of microcystin presence.

The characterization of residual algal biomass helped to understand its physio-chemical properties to decide which energy conversion technology will be suitable. The HHV of the astaxanthin rich biomass is measured to be 22.78 MJ/kg, whereas the HHV of the residual biomass is 11.31 MJ/kg, which is almost half of that of the astaxanthin rich algal biomass. This can be explained using the FTIR spectrum of the residual biomass and the astaxanthin rich biomass (Figure 4.7), which shows that the peak for polysaccharides, lipids, and proteins get lowers in case of residual biomass, thus resulting in a lower HHV value. The high volatile matter content (71.28 ± 0.27%), which is similar to that of the green microalgae *Chlorella sp.* as reported by Phukan et al., 2011, and the TGA thermogram suggests that the residual biomass can be a potential feedstock for thermochemical conversions (Phukan et al., 2011). The high moisture content (7.9 ± 0.12
%) is not desirable as it reduces the heating value of the biomass. Minimal ash content is desirable in the biomass as it has no heating value and it also affects both the handling and processing cost of the biomass energy conversion. (Ceylan and Goldfarb, 2015; Sudhakar and Premalatha, 2015). Since the residual biomass has low ash content and high volatile content, hence it can be a good source for gasification and pyrolysis technology, as the high volatile matter significantly influences the thermal decomposition, and hence, the formation of pyrolysis end products (Ceylan and Goldfarb, 2015). The ultimate analysis showed that the residual biomass possesses low N and S values \( (C_{3.63} H_{6.26} N_{0.14} S_{0.01} O_3) \), which is favorable as this limits the formation of NOx and SOx during the combustion process, and hence proves to be environmental friendly, similar to other biomass sources (Table 4.7). Residual \textit{Haematococcus} biomass has an H/C ratio (ash free basis of 1.72, which is lower than the H/C ratio of rice husk (1.95), higher than that of \textit{Laminaria saccharina}, a brown microalgae (1.42), and similar to the H/C ratio of \textit{Chlorella}, \textit{Nannochloropsis} and \textit{Spirulina} species (Chow et al., 2013; Phukan et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2013). Hence, the residual \textit{Haematococcus} biomass has an H/C ratio similar to other microalgal biomass, thus indicating that this residue can be used as a biofuel feedstock.
Table 4.7: Ultimate Analysis of different biomass sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Ash</th>
<th>H/C molar ratio</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice Husk</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>43.36</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>(Olupot et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat Straw</td>
<td>39.99</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>38.99</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>(Zhang et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorella sp. MP-1</td>
<td>47.54</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>38.63</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>(Phukan et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanochloropsis salina (Lipid Extracted biomass)</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>(Zhu et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminaria saccharina</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>(Chow et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirulina platensis</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>(Chow et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microalgal biomass can be converted to bioenergy by using thermochemical conversion, which produces oil and gas as the end products, or by using biochemical processes, where ethanol and biodiesel can be produced (Amin, 2009; Patil et al., 2008). Table 4.8 shows the comparison between these different conversion technologies in terms of the end product formed, operating conditions and requirements, and it can be seen that moisture content plays a key role in deciding which conversion technology can be used? Thermochemical conversion is best suited for biomass with low moisture content whereas biochemical conversion is preferred for biomass with high moisture content. Along with the moisture content, it is important to understand the main component of the residual biomass, if it is rich in carbohydrate then it can be used in bioethanol production and if it is rich in fatty acids, then biodiesel can be produced. (Bi and He, 2013). FTIR spectrum of the residual Haematococcus biomass showed high peaks for carbohydrates and lipids. So,
based on these characteristics, a selection matrix (Table 4.8) is created, which will help to decide which conversion technology will be suitable.

**Table 4.8: Selection of a suitable biofuel conversion technology (Amaro et al., 2011; Amin, 2009; Boer and Moheimani, 2012; Patil et al., 2008).**

("-" = Not favorable, "+" = Favorable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Operating conditions</th>
<th>Drying Required?</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Decision Preference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction</td>
<td>250-330 °C, 5-20 MPa</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>High Pressure is undesirable</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolysis</td>
<td>300-600 °C, 0.1-0.5 MPa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High VM</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustion</td>
<td>700-1400°C, &gt; 0.1 MPa</td>
<td>Not essential</td>
<td>High Temperature</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasification</td>
<td>500-1300°C, &gt; 0.1 MPa</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>High VM</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fermentation</td>
<td>25-30 °C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Integration with Bioethanol plant possible. Carbohydrates present</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transesterification</td>
<td>60-120 °C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Lipids Present</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrothermal liquefaction</td>
<td>280 – 370 °C, 10 – 25 MPa</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Lipids Present</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, according to the selection matrix, it can be seen that the fermentation process is the most suitable option to convert the residual biomass to biofuel (bioethanol, for this process). The reasons which favor this conversion technology includes:

- The biomass has a high moisture content, but drying is not a necessary step for fermentation.
- In the FTIR spectrum, it was seen that the carbohydrate showed a higher peak compared to lipids, thus indicating that the biomass is a good source of
carbohydrates. The fermentation process requires a carbohydrate-rich raw material, and the *Haematococcus* biomass fits in this requirement.

- *H. pluvialis* was cultured in wastewater obtained from the bioethanol production plant, which uses fermentation technology to produce ethanol. So, the residual *Haematococcus* biomass can be fed into the saccharification unit, which will be an additional feedstock, hence this biomass will not go to waste.

### 4.5. Cost Analysis of astaxanthin production

The major manufacturers of synthetic astaxanthin are DSM (Dutch-based multinational life sciences and materials sciences) in Netherlands, BASF (Badische Anilin und Soda Fabrik) in France, and NHU in China. The estimated cost of production of synthetic astaxanthin is about $1000 / kg and the total market value being more than $200 million per year (Olaizola, 2003). Due to the food safety concerns related to the petrochemical-derived synthetic astaxanthin, the high production cost of synthetic astaxanthin, and a high market demand for natural astaxanthin, the biological sources of astaxanthin are being exploited for a long time (Zhu et al., 2011). Extensive research has been done to develop bioprocesses to produce astaxanthin and even many plants have been established, for example, Cyanotech in Hawaii. But, it is still uncertain if natural astaxanthin can be produced at lower cost than synthetic astaxanthin, and there are not many reports available on cost analysis of natural astaxanthin. Many researchers suggest that natural astaxanthin production in PBR and raceway ponds can compete with the cost of production of synthetic astaxanthin (Guerin et al., 2003). Zhu et al., 2011 gave a comprehensive cost analysis of astaxanthin production from *H. pluvialis* in Shenzhen,
China, for a hypothetically scaled up plant having a production capacity of about 900kg astaxanthin per year.

The total production cost includes two parts: total fixed capital cost and total operation cost. To estimate the cost of production of astaxanthin produced using bioethanol waste stream in photobioreactors, the total fixed cost of the various components of the manufacturing unit and the cost of the operating parameters has to be estimated. The major components of the manufacturing unit would include a laboratory, PBR for inoculum, PBR for the green phase of *H. pluvialis*, PBR for reddening (astaxanthin accumulation stage), sedimentation tanks, centrifuges, a pulverizer, pressurized air supply, CO\textsubscript{2} tank, and extraction unit. The operational cost of production would include raw materials, utilities, and labor. The power consumption arises from bioreactor heating, low-pressure air supply, compressed air supply and wastewater pumping. These parameters and costs have been itemized in Table 4.9, and the economic estimates have been provided for the *H. pluvialis* cultivation, harvesting, and extraction units of a process, for the scaled up production of 1000 kg of astaxanthin per year. The quantity of PBRs, sedimentations or centrifugation unit required has been roughly calculated for 1000 kg of astaxanthin production. The cost estimates were extrapolated from National Alliance for Advanced Biofuels and Bioproducts (NAABB) report, National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) database, Aspen Plus databank and literature studies (Olaizola, 2003; Zhu et al., 2011). Energy cost were based on an average US cost of $0.15 /kWh.

Since this process is proposed to be integrated into an established bioethanol production plant, with an existing infrastructure, this eliminates the need to invest in some facilities required for astaxanthin production. Investments on land procurement,
wastewater (medium) supply station, and pulverizer will be eliminated. Since algae are being grown in wastewater generated during bioethanol production process, there will be no need for a separate supply station. Also, this plant uses pulverizer to grind the corn kernels (the raw material used for bioethanol production), so the same pulverizer can be used for grinding the algal biomass. From Table 4.9, it can be seen that, for wastewater and disinfection/ sterilization, total cost estimated is zero. This is because the wastewater generated by the bioethanol plant is being reused. At present, the bioethanol plant makes use of ozonation as the wastewater disinfection method, before disposing of the wastewater. So sterilization of wastewater is not an additional step, and this further reduces the operation cost.

The total annual fixed capital cost and total annual operation cost of production of 1000 kg astaxanthin are estimated to be $1,054,000 and $326,850, respectively. If a 10-year depreciation of fixed cost is adopted, then the unit total fixed production cost of astaxanthin will be $105,400. This means, the unit fixed production cost and the unit operation production cost will be $105.40 / kg astaxanthin and $326.85 / kg astaxanthin, respectively. Therefore, the total unit production cost will be about $ 432 / kg astaxanthin. The production cost of synthetic astaxanthin by DSM, BASF and NHU is estimated to be $1000 / kg astaxanthin, and (Zhu et al., 2011) estimated a production cost of $718 / kg astaxanthin. Therefore, the greener and intensified process proposed in this study would be able to produce natural astaxanthin at a lower cost of $ 432 / kg. The main reason for this lowered cost is due to integrating microalgal culture in a bioethanol plant and utilizing the waste product generated in the plant itself. The cost might be able to be further reduced with the advances of technologies and optimization of processes.
Table 4.9: Cost analysis of astaxanthin production in bioethanol waste stream.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items and Qty.</th>
<th>Unit cost ($)</th>
<th>Total cost ($)</th>
<th>Items and Qty.</th>
<th>Unit cost ($)</th>
<th>Total cost ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Fixed Capital Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total Operation Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land (30,000 m²)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-300,000</td>
<td>Wastewater (3000 ton)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building area (250 m²)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>Chemicals (NaOH/ Methanol) (15 ton)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>37,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory Instruments</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>Disinfection/ Sterilization</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBR (22)</td>
<td>20,723</td>
<td>456,000</td>
<td>Other consumables</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater supply station</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>Power for PBR heating. (300,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>45,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low pressure air supply (2)</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>Power for low air pressure. (60,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentation tank (3)</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>Power for compressed air. (5,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ storage tank</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>Power for ultrasonication. (4,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Power for pulverization. (20,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulverizer</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>-50,000</td>
<td>Power for pumping. (50,000 kWh)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraction (Ultrasonicator)</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>Labor (10)</td>
<td>10,350</td>
<td>103,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pumps, valves, pipings and Electrical.</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>Supervision (4)</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering supervision for process control</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>General plant overheads</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction expenses</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td><strong>Total Operational Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>US $326,850</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fixed Cost</td>
<td><strong>US $1,054,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.6. Conclusion

A high density (4.37 ± 0.07 g/l) *H. pluvialis* culture was obtained using the bioethanol production waste stream as the growth media, in an air-lift bioreactor supplemented with 5% CO$_2$. Total astaxanthin extracted was 38 ± 2.55 mg/g and the residual *Haematococcus* biomass showed a potential to be used as a future biofuel feedstock. This microalga can make use of a simple and inexpensive nutrient medium, wastewater, to grow, at a growth rate faster than the land crops and trees. Also, not only did *H. pluvialis* resulted in 91.67% total nitrogen and 100% total phosphorous removal, but also showed a negative result for microcystin test, hence eliminating the safety concern regarding the final product. The proximate and ultimate analysis showed that the biomass has a very good biochemical profile, with very little nitrogen and negligible Sulfur, hence it will limit the problems of NOx and SOx formations, and proves to be an environmentally friendly source. The FTIR analysis confirms the presence of carbohydrates and lipids, and the thermal analysis presents a good decomposition profile of the biomass. Hence, the characterization of the biomass, with respect to bioenergy production, proves to be a potential feedstock, and the production of biofuel from *H. pluvialis* can also be coupled with CO$_2$ uptake from the effluent produced during the bioethanol production, wastewater reutilization, and production of high-value astaxanthin.
CHAPTER V - OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1. Conclusions

Wastewater, thin stillage, and process condensate, generated from the bioethanol production plant were characterized and evaluated to formulate a growth media to support *H. pluvialis* growth as well as astaxanthin accumulation. This procedure was also examined using an air-lift photobioreactor, using carbon dioxide supplementation, for sufficient agitation, as well as *H. pluvialis* growth. For an intensified extraction of astaxanthin, ultrasonication technique was examined, and the residual biomass hence obtained after extraction was characterized to evaluate its potential as a biofuel feedstock. In this chapter, a summary of the conclusion of the previous chapter has been presented, which are as below.

5.1.1. *H. pluvialis* growth and astaxanthin accumulation in wastewater

Culturing *Haematococcus pluvialis* in bioethanol wastewater streams can be a greener alternative to conventional media, as it not only puts the readily available wastewaters to better use but also eliminates the dependency on industrialized chemicals for culture media preparation. The maximal growth of *H. pluvialis* (vegetative cells) was obtained in 60x diluted thin stillage, and maximal astaxanthin accumulation was obtained in GroAst media (60% 60x thin stillage, and 40% acetate-rich process condensate), coupled with continuous illumination (to induce astaxanthin production). The GroAst media is not only a cheaper media, compared to the chemically synthesized media, but it is also a “greener” sustainable alternative to conventional growth media.
5.1.2. High-density cultivation of *H. pluvialis* in Air - Lift Photobioreactor

A high density (4.37 ± 0.07 g/l) *H. pluvialis* culture was obtained using GroAst media, in an air-lift photobioreactor, supplemented with 5% CO$_2$. The air-lift bioreactor has carbon dioxide bubbles being dispersed without mechanical agitation, so cells with low mechanical strength do not suffer high shear rates due to the motion of the impellers in a stirred reactor. During the cultivation of photosynthetic cells, oxygen acts as an inhibitor, so carbon dioxide bubbles ensure the removal of oxygen and enhances the mass transfer rate, prevents settling of cells and keeps them floating. So, CO$_2$ supplementation helps in keeping the microalgal cells in a suspended state, while *H. pluvialis* simultaneously can help in CO$_2$ uptake from the effluent produced during the bioethanol production.

5.1.3. Intensified Recovery of Astaxanthin using ultrasonication

Ultrasonication with sodium hydroxide pretreatment, followed by methanol extraction (US w/ NaOH w/ methanol) resulted in best extraction efficiency. Astaxanthin extraction using ultrasonication proved advantageous in terms of short extraction time (25 min), less chemical consumption (2M NaOH), GRAS solvent usage (methanol), high efficiency in cell disruption, high extraction yield (80.6 ± 0.005%) and suitability to extract thermolabile astaxanthin. The ultrasonication treatment with sodium hydroxide leads to the formation of cavitation in solution, whereby the adjacent microalgal cells undergo cell disruption due to the localized high temperatures and pressures, in the presence of sodium hydroxide. Since the high temperature is localized, the astaxanthin in the cell and in the solution is minimally exposed to degrading temperatures. Hence, the use of ultrasonication does not lead to noticeable loss of astaxanthin caused by thermal degradation.
5.1.4. **Nutrient removal from the wastewater**

*Haematococcus pluvialis* uses the nutrients (mainly nitrogen and phosphorous) present in the wastewater for their growth and hence results in 91.67 % total nitrogen and 100 % total phosphorous removal. Even though the astaxanthin was produced using wastewater, it showed a negative result for microcystin test.

5.1.5. **Residual Haematococcus biomass is a potential biofuel feedstock**

The residual *Haematococcus* biomass has the characteristics to be used as a potential biofuel feedstock. The proximate and ultimate analysis showed that the biomass has a very good biochemical profile, with very little nitrogen and negligible sulfur. The FTIR analysis of the biomass confirms the presence of carbohydrates and lipids, and the thermal analysis presents a good decomposition profile of the biomass. With moderate moisture content, high volatile matter, and high carbohydrate content, this residual biomass can utilize the fermentation process as a conversion technology. Since *H. pluvialis* was cultured in wastewater obtained from the bioethanol production plant, which uses fermentation technology to produce ethanol, so, the residual *Haematococcus* biomass can be fed into the saccharification unit, which will be an additional feedstock, hence this biomass will not go to waste.
5.2. Recommendations for Future work

- **Pilot-scale study:** This experimental study was performed on a laboratory scale. A pilot scale up of this procedure will help in understanding the applicability of this proposed work in industries.

- **Fermentation kinetics study:** It has been suggested in this study that fermentation is a desirable option to convert the residual *Haematococcus* biomass to biofuel, as a future work, fermentation process for converting *H. pluvialis* biomass to biofuels and the kinetics can be tested.

- **Alternative uses of residual *Haematococcus* biomass:** In this study, the residual biomass was characterized on the basis of biofuel feedstock parameters only. Future study can be done to analyze alternative uses of the residual biomass, such as animal or aquatic feed.

- **Limitation of Ultrasonication:** The use of ultrasound at industrial scale, however, still needs to be verified, as high energy consumption and scalability limitations may not make it the economically optimal technique for astaxanthin extraction.

- **Techno-economic assessment:** A techno – economic evaluation study in terms of process parameters, such as energy input, raw materials, product output, of a pilot scale will help to understand the cost benefit of this procedure, and its applicability on an industrial scale. A detailed analysis of the fixed capital cost and the operation cost will help to perform a sensitivity analysis on astaxanthin production and marketing.
References:


Csiktusnádi Kiss, G. a., Forgács, E., Cserháti, T., Mota, T., Morais, H., Ramos, A., 2000. Optimisation of the microwave-assisted extraction of pigments from paprika (Capsicum annuum L.) powders. J. Chromatogr. A 889, 41–49. doi:10.1016/S0021-9673(00)00440-4


Hagen, C., Siegmund, S., Braune, W., 2016. Ultrastructural and chemical changes in the cell wall of Haematococcus pluvialis (Volvocales, Chlorophyta) during aplanospore formation 0262. doi:10.1017/S0967026202003669


Jeon, Y.C., Cho, C.W., Yun, Y.S., 2006. Combined effects of light intensity and acetate


