Yield, Nitrogen Dynamics, and Fertilizer Use Efficiency in Machine-harvested Cucumber
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Abstract. The increase in fertilizer costs as well as environmental concerns has stimulated growers to re-evaluate their fertilizer applications to optimize nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) while maintaining crop yields and minimizing N losses. With these objectives, field trials were conducted at seven sites with five N rates (0 to 220 kg N/ha) of ammonium-nitrate applied preplant broadcast and incorporated as well as a split application treatment of 65 + 45 kg N/ha. In three contrasting years (i.e., cool/wet versus warm/dry versus average), N treatment had no observable effect on grade size distribution or brine quality. Based on the zero N control treatment, the limited yield response to fertilizer N was the result of sufficient plant-available N over the growing season. In the N budget, there was no difference between N treatments in crop N removal, but there was a positive linear relationship between N applied and the quantity of N in crop residue as well as in the soil after harvest. As expected, apparent fertilizer N recovery and N uptake efficiency were lower at 220 versus 110 kg N/ha applied preplant or split. The preplant and split applications of 110 kg N/ha were not different in yield, overall N budget, or NUE. Considering the short growing season, planting into warm soils, and the generally productive, nonresistant soils in the region, growers should consider reducing or eliminating fertilizer N applications in machine-harvested cucumber.

Nitrogen is a critical nutrient for crop production, but it is difficult to optimize nitrogen (N) fertilizer applications because of the dynamic nature of plant-available N over the growing season. Nitrogen availability affects yield and quality of vegetables. Nitrogen applications should be carefully managed, particularly in cucumbers (Cucumis sativus L.), to optimize marketable yield while minimizing excessive vine growth, which can impede harvest operations and reduce marketable yield.

Optimal N rates of field-grown cucurbits vary depending on cucurbit species and location and range from 80 to 200 kg N/ha (Esmel et al., 2006; Ferrante et al., 2008; Mohammad, 2004). In Ontario, there is no difference among cucurbit crops in the recommended rate of N fertilizer, which is 110 kg N/ha, split applied at 65 + 45 kg N/ha preplant and before the vines elongate, respectively. Although not well documented, this recommendation is believed to have been developed by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Affairs at least 30 years ago on hand-picked cucumber production systems. It is unclear whether this rate is appropriate for machine-harvested cucumbers because machine-harvested cucumbers are grown at a higher plant population (≈131,000 versus 60,600 ha), have a shorter growing season (6 versus ≈12 weeks), and lower yields (≈9 versus 18 to 27 t·ha⁻¹) than hand-picked cucumbers. Consequently, there is a need to evaluate recommended N rates on machine-harvested cucumbers carefully.

Cucumbers are a valuable crop in Ontario, grossing over $9.1 million of farm value from 1110 ha harvested in 2007 (Mailvaganam, 2008). Appearance (size, shape, and color) of fresh and processed vegetables influences consumer-purchasing decisions. Nitrogen nutrition can affect vegetable external and postharvest quality (Locascio et al., 1984). However, little is known about the effect of N fertility on brine quality of cucumbers, although nearly all processing cucumbers in North America are brined. Nitrogen management recommendations must be based not only on yields and economics, but also on cucumber quality as well as environmental considerations.

Generally, previous production research relied heavily on agronomic and economic objectives with little regard for the environment or nutrient use efficiency (Beegle et al., 2000). The intensification of agricultural production and increased pressure from society on growers to protect consumers and the environment has increased the need for optimizing N use efficiency (NUE). This is particularly true in cucurbit crops, in which plant N uptake and soil N dynamics have been quantified in squash (Mohammad, 2004), but differences in crop species, climate, soil characteristics, and crop management may significantly influence results. Understanding N dynamics and optimizing fertilizer NUE in machine-harvested cucumber under typical production practices may lead to best management practice recommendations that balance agronomic, economic, and environmental considerations. The objectives of this study were to characterize the response of machine-harvested cucumber to fertilizer N rates and time of application with respect to 1) the yield, quality, and brine quality to estimate the most economical rate of N; 2) total N budget to estimate N losses; and 3) NUE to reduce input costs.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design. From 2004 to 2006, field experiments on machine-harvested cucurbits, cv. Valspic, were conducted in different fields at the University of Guelph Ridgetown Campus and at grower sites in southwestern Ontario for 7 site-years (Table 1). Cucumber seed was planted at 131,000 plants/ha with 76 cm between plant rows and 10 cm between plants. The experiments had randomized complete block designs with four replications at two to three sites each year. Sites were representative of the processing cucumber industry with respect to soil texture and previous crop (Table 1). Ammonium nitrate was preplant-applied at 0, 65, 110, 220, and x kg N/ha, in which x was 165 kg N/ha in 2004 and 30 kg N/ha in 2005 and 2006. This change was made to reflect expected yield response. At all sites in 2004 and at the cooperater sites in 2005 and 2006, plots were at least 3.35 m or seven rows wide and 8 m long with N fertilizer hand broadcast immediately after planting. At all other sites, plots were 6 m × 8 m and N fertilizer was hand-applied and incorporated before planting (Table 2). A split N application of 65 + 45 kg N/ha was applied preplant as described and by hand before the vine elongation, respectively. Fertilization was based on soil testing for all nutrients other than N and typical Ontario production practices were followed for pest control and other field operations. Air and soil temperature and precipitation data were collected at all sites.

Soil mineral N and plant total N were determined for only 0, 110, and 220 kg N/ha treatments as well as the split treatment in 2005 and 2006. These N treatments were selected for evaluation because they encompass the range of N fertilization rates tested and the Ontario-recommended N rate for cucumbers is 65 + 45 kg N/ha. It was assumed that NUE and N dynamic parameters between the 0 and 220 kg N/ha treatments would be intermediary.
Table 1. Selected soil characteristics at experimental sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Previous crop</th>
<th>Sand-silt-clay (%)</th>
<th>Organic matter (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Cation exchange capacity (MEQ/100 g)</th>
<th>NO$_3$-N</th>
<th>NH$_4$-N</th>
<th>Phosphorus</th>
<th>Potassium</th>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Magnesium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Seed corn</td>
<td>24:60:16</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>4,029</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>74:16:10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>81.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>52:32:16</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>4,029</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>51:33:16</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>3,928</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>45:47:08</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>3,972</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>72:21:07</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Soybeans</td>
<td>24:54:21</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>4,104</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Dates of crop management practices performed at experimental sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cucumber planting and preplant N application</th>
<th>Split N application</th>
<th>Cucumber harvest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>21 June</td>
<td>20 July</td>
<td>6 Aug.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>27 May</td>
<td>9 July</td>
<td>27 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>5 July</td>
<td>28 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>26 May</td>
<td>28 June</td>
<td>15 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridgetown Campus</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>31 May</td>
<td>23 June</td>
<td>20 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>29 May</td>
<td>3 July</td>
<td>18 July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairview</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>6 June</td>
<td>3 July</td>
<td>24 July</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Soil mineral nitrogen measurements. Inorganic N concentration (NO$_3$-N and NH$_4$-N) was determined on one composite soil sample taken from at least five cores (typically six to 10 cores) to 75-90 cm depths from each block before planting and from each plot of selected treatments at the time of split N application and at harvest (Table 2). In the field, soil cores were divided into 15- or 30-cm increments, homogenized by depth, sealed in plastic bags, and put into a cooler for transport. Samples were frozen (−20°C), stored, and sent for analysis to an Ontario-certified laboratory (Agri-Food Laboratories Ltd., Guelph, Ontario, Canada).

Soil NO$_3$-N and NH$_4$-N were quantified using the Maynard et al. (2008) method, which consisted of KCl extraction with sodium chloride extraction and the phenate method, respectively, using an Auto Analyzer (Technicon Auto Analyzer II; Tarrytown, New York, NY). Preplant soil characteristics and bulk density were evaluated according to accredited standards (Gregorich and Carter, 2008) on composite 15-cm depth soil cores sampled from the entire trial area. They included pH (1:1 v/v method), organic matter (modified Walkley Black method), phosphorus (Olson bicarbonate extraction method), calcium, potassium, magnesium, sodium (atomic absorption through ammonium acetate extraction), zinc, iron, copper (DTPA extraction for atomic adsorption), manganese (phosphoric acid extraction with atomic adsorption), percentage sand/silt/clay (hydrometer method), and cation exchange capacity (estimated based ammonium acetate extraction and pH).

Crop measurements. Six meters of the center three to five rows, depending on plot width, were hand-harvested to simulate once-over machine harvest. The entire trial was harvested when it was estimated that ≈10% of the fruit were Grade 4 (Schultz et al., 1998). Based on market standards in Ontario, fruit was graded according to size (Table 3); cucumbers not suitable for pickling as a result of shape or size were considered culls and were included in total yield calculations. Harvest area, fresh fruit weight, and grade were used to calculate total and marketable yield and income on a per-hectare basis to allow for comparisons among treatments.

For one site each year, a representative sample of Grade 3 cucumbers was analyzed for brine quality. Mesh bags containing 12 to 15 cucumbers from each plot were placed in a 200-L plastic drum and brine solution was added. During the 14-d fermentation, the barrel was continuously aerated. The barrel was stored outside until quality assessments were made in December. Ten representative pickles were dissected longitudinally to quantify percent recovery and to assess quality parameters on a 1 to 5 rating scale in which a rating of 3 was considered the industry standard. Pickle shape, ridges and spines, external and internal color, firmness, placenta size, seed size, and overall quality parameters were assessed according to the pickling industry standards in Ontario (J. O’Sullivan, personal communication).

Aboveground vegetative biomass (i.e., leaves and vines) collected from five plants per plot at harvest and a representative sliced sample of 10% of each cucumber grade were dried at 60°C for total N analysis. A representative sample of dried plant tissue was ground in a Wiley mill with a 2-mm diameter opening mesh screen. Total N content in plant biomass was determined by dry combustion method (McGill and Figueiredo, 2008) using a LECO CN determinator (LECO Corporation, St. Joseph, MI) at an Ontario-certified laboratory (A&L Laboratories Inc., London, Ontario, Canada). Percent total N content data were converted to kg N/ha based on yield and shoot dry weights.

Nitrogen use efficiency and budget calculations. Nitrogen use efficiency indices and N budgets were calculated using plant dry matter weights in aboveground plant (Pw = Vw + Vb, vegetative (Vw, leaves and vines), and fruit yield (Vb) in kg ha⁻¹. Nitrogen content in the plant (Pn), vegetative (Vn), and fruit yield (Yn), in kg N/ha, was determined by multiplying percent total N content by dry matter mass. Soil mineral N content (Sm) as NO$_3$-N and NH$_4$-N content were calculated to 30-cm depth and the data were converted to kg N/ha based on soil bulk density. Fertilizer uptake efficiency was calculated as the difference in total aboveground plant N content in a fertilized treatment from zero N control treatment divided by N fertilizer mass. Nitrogen use efficiency was calculated as the percentage of mineral N divided by mineral N in the soil at harvest. Standardized N removal was the amount of N removed from the field in cucumber yield divided by yield weight. All NUE indices were multiplied by 100.

Statistical analysis. Yield, income, percent N content, vegetative biomass, and soil N content data were subjected to linear and quadratic regression (SAS Version 8.2; SAS Inc., Cary, NC). For all other data, 2004 data were analyzed separately from 2005 and 2006 because 1) the split application was not sampled; 2) there were only two, not three, sites; and 3) soil was sampled at different depths. Data were subjected to analysis of variance using type I sums of squares in PROC MIXED model (SAS Version 8.2; SAS Inc.) with site and replication as random effects and N application and year as fixed effects. Means separation was determined using Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison procedure. The Type I error (α) was set at 0.1.

Table 3. Grades and sizes paid by the processor in 2005 to 2006 for machine-harvested cucumbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade no.</th>
<th>Diam (cm)</th>
<th>Price (US $/t)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Up to 2.7</td>
<td>$623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7 to 3.8</td>
<td>$223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8 to 5</td>
<td>$154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 to 5.4</td>
<td>$45³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversize</td>
<td>&gt;5.4</td>
<td>$45³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹If accepted by the processor.
Results and Discussion

Cucumber crop response to nitrogen. Nitrogen treatment had no observable effect on seedling emergence and growth during the season, although nonfertilized control plants were chlorotic in 2005. At harvest, year and N treatment had no effect on cucumber size grade distribution, but there was a significant year \( \times \) grade interaction. Cucumbers were targeted for harvest when 10% of the yield was Grade 4 and this was realized in 2004 and 2006 at 15% and 8%, respectively. The 2005 harvest was later than ideal at 23% Grade 4 attributable mainly to drought conditions limiting yield and delaying the decision to harvest. Nitrogen treatment had no effect on the size grade distribution, including culls (data not shown). Similarly, in central Alabama, 56 or 112 kg N/ha did not influence size grade distribution in hand-picked cucumbers grown in either spring or fall (Doss et al., 1977).

Cucumber yield and income. Income (Fig. 1) or marketable cucumber yield (data not shown) response to N application was either nonresponsive or tended to be a slight positive or negative linear response. It was not possible to determine the most economical rate of N for machine-harvested cucumber. There was no N treatment effect on cucumber yield expressed as income in dollars/ha or cucumber yields in t ha\(^{-1}\), indicating no income difference between the preplant versus split of 110 kg N/ha for all site-years. These yields are comparable to the 2004 to 2006 provincial average for fresh and processing cucumbers and gherkins of \( \approx \$5000/ha \). Income was highest in 2004 (Fig. 1), a relatively cool and wet season (Table 4). Although there were drought conditions in 2005 (Table 4), income and yield were only slightly lower in 2006, an average year for temperature and precipitation, because a new disease in Ontario, downy mildew \( \textit{Pseudoperonospora cubensis} \) (Berk. \& Curt.) Rostov., reduced yields. There were considerable production losses as a result of downy mildew, where 42% of Ontario processing cucumber growers met less than 50% of their contracted tonnage (E. Roddy, personal communication).

Some studies have shown a positive or no response of cucumber yield to increasing N rates (Doss et al., 1977; Umamaheswarappa and Krishnappa, 2004). Other cucurbits such as watermelon \( \textit{Citrullus lanatus} \) (Thunb.) Matsum. \& Nakai (Goreta et al., 2005), summer squash \( \textit{Cucurbita pepo} \) (L.) (Esmel et al., 2006), and pumpkins \( \textit{Cucurbita pepo} \) (L.) (Reiners and Riggs, 1997) have variable or no yield response to N fertilization. Johnson et al. (1973) found cucumber yield response to N rate to be variable depending on soil type, where the greatest response was on eroded, upland soils and no response on highly productive soils. The sites in our experiment were selected to represent soils and rotations typical of cucumber production in southwestern Ontario, which are typically fertile. Nitrogen analysis confirmed that the sites had considerable soil mineral N at preplant, in-season, and harvest sampling. Thus, in southwestern Ontario, there was minimal cucumber yield increase per unit N applied compared with nonfertilized control. Considering fertilizer and application costs and yield return, a grower should consider lowering N rates and avoiding split N application of 110 kg N/ha.

Cucumber brine quality. Brine quality was unaffected by fertilizer N treatment or site-year and there were no N treatment \( \times \) year interactions. All brine quality parameters (i.e., percent recovery, shape, ridges and spines, external and internal color, firmness, placenta size, seed size, and overall quality) were within the industry standard (data not shown). The lack of influence of N on fruit quality parameters such as fruit size and overall fruit quality was similar to results on watermelon (Schultheis and Dufault, 1994) and were likely the result of sufficient levels of plant-available N. The sufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>30-year mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>80.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>128.4</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>87.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>364.2</td>
<td>128.8</td>
<td>237.0</td>
<td>248.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Machine-harvested cucumber income [expressed as dollars/ha based on grade size and price (Table 3)] response to ammonium nitrate broadcast-applied preplant incorporated or split-applied when the vines elongate (65 + 45).
range of N for most vegetables is large, and
when vegetables are grown within this range, the effects of N on external fruit quality
parameters are small (Locascio et al., 1984). In contrast, increased rates of N application
increased flesh and placenta thickness of cucumber cv. Poinsette fruit (Umamaheswar-
appa and Krishnappa, 2004). No literature
found on the impact of fertility on field
cucumber brine quality.

Soil mineral nitrogen. There was no dif-
ference in interpretation of soil mineral N data
expressed in kg N/ha (data not shown) or
mg·kg⁻¹. Averaged over sites, preplant soil
mineral N at the end of May to mid-June was
significantly higher in 2004 than 2005 and
2006 at 17.6 and 10.3 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively,
which is not atypical for the time of year in
warm, productive soils. In all 3 years, soil
NO₃⁻–N was lower at the deeper depths (i.e.,
60 to 75 or 60 to 90 cm) than the top depth
(i.e., 0 to 15 and 0 to 30 cm) at preplant and
harvest sampling (data not shown). In the zero
N control, there was no difference between
preplant and harvest in soil mineral N in the
2004. Soil mineral N in 2005 and 2006 was
higher preplant than harvest from 0 to 30 cm.
Considering that trials were in different fields
with either soybeans [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] or
seed corn (Zea mays L.) as the previous
crop (Table 1), it is difficult to determine if
this effect was the result of a site or year effect.

At harvest, there was a significant positive
linear relationship between N fertilizer and
soil NO₃⁻–N, NH₄⁺–N (Fig. 2) and soil min-
eral N concentrations (data not shown).
Similar trends of higher NO₃⁻–N levels at
harvest with fertilizer N applications than
control treatments have been observed by
others (Fang et al., 2006; Gagnon et al., 1998;
Habtegebrial et al., 2007; Mohammad, 2004).
There was no difference in NO₃⁻–N, NH₄⁺–N
and soil mineral N quantified at harvest
between preplant and split N fertilizer ap-
plications of 110 kg N/ha (Fig. 2). Thus,
split-applying N did not minimize the quanti-
ty of mineral N available for loss after har-
vest.

Nitrogen budget. Trends between N treat-
ments in 2004 (data not shown) were not
different from 2005 and 2006. For 2005 and
2006, there were no significant N treatment ×
year interactions for all N budget parameters
tested (Table 5); therefore, data were pooled.
Year had a significant effect on all N budget
parameters except crop removal (F–
Yn) and apparent N loss (Nin-Nout). Where
year was significant, N budget parameters
were greater in 2005 than 2006. Likely, the
dry, hot growing conditions resulted in higher
plant N uptake, lower immobilization, more
mineralization, and/or less N losses through
leaching or denitrification.

The timing of N fertilizer did not influence
N budget parameters. Nitrogen treat-
ment had a significant effect on all N budget
parameters except crop N removal (Yn)
and crop residue N (YfN) (Table 5). Thus, regard-
less of the quantity or timing of N fertilizer, N
content in the cucumber plant, expressed as
kg·ha⁻¹, was not different. Moreover, the
partitioning of N and biomass between vege-
tative and reproductive tissues (i.e., harvest
index) was not influenced by quantity or
timing on N applied, which was consistent
with other crops (Olsen et al., 1993; Van
Eerd, 2007). Approximately 22% and 26% of
total aboveground plant N and dry weight
biomass, respectively, were allocated to the
fruit. Although independent of the quantity of
N available from fertilizer and/or soil, har-
vest index and harvest N index varied from
year to year and ranged from 26.9% to 38.3%
and 22.4% to 31.4%, respectively. For both
indices, 2004 was significantly higher than
2005 and 2006, which were different from
each other. This suggests that for these
experimental conditions, biomass and N par-
titioning is a characteristic of crop and year
rather than N fertilization.

There was no correlation between N
applied (0 to 220 kg N/ha) and N content
in the cucumber crop expressed as
Yn, Vn, or Pn (data not shown). There was, however,
a significant positive linear correlation (P <
0.001) between N applied and percent N
content of vegetative and reproductive bio-
mass (Fig. 3). This was consistent with results
from several other crops (Everaarts and
Booij, 2000; Van Eerd, 2007; Zvomuya and
Rosen, 2002), including cucurbits (Moham-
mad, 2004), where N applied correlated with
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. In contrast, Hanna and Adams (1991)
showed no correlation between percent N
content and increasing N rates in cucumber
leaves collected before harvest.

Year and N treatment had a significant
effect on percent N content in the fruit and
vegetative biomass, but there was no inter-
action; therefore, data were pooled over years
(Fig. 3). Vegetative and fruit percent N
content displayed no correlation between
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. Although independent of the quantity of
N available from fertilizer and/or soil, har-
vest index and harvest N index varied from
year to year and ranged from 26.9% to 38.3%
and 22.4% to 31.4%, respectively. For both
indices, 2004 was significantly higher than
2005 and 2006, which were different from
each other. This suggests that for these
experimental conditions, biomass and N par-
titioning is a characteristic of crop and year
rather than N fertilization.

There was no correlation between N
applied (0 to 220 kg N/ha) and N content
in the cucumber crop expressed as
Yn, Vn, or Pn (data not shown). There was, however,
a significant positive linear correlation (P <
0.001) between N applied and percent N
content of vegetative and reproductive bio-
mass (Fig. 3). This was consistent with results
from several other crops (Everaarts and
Booij, 2000; Van Eerd, 2007; Zvomuya and
Rosen, 2002), including cucurbits (Moham-
mad, 2004), where N applied correlated with
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. In contrast, Hanna and Adams (1991)
showed no correlation between percent N
content and increasing N rates in cucumber
leaves collected before harvest.

Year and N treatment had a significant
effect on percent N content in the fruit and
vegetative biomass, but there was no inter-
action; therefore, data were pooled over years
(Fig. 3). Vegetative and fruit percent N
content displayed no correlation between
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. Although independent of the quantity of
N available from fertilizer and/or soil, har-
vest index and harvest N index varied from
year to year and ranged from 26.9% to 38.3%
and 22.4% to 31.4%, respectively. For both
indices, 2004 was significantly higher than
2005 and 2006, which were different from
each other. This suggests that for these
experimental conditions, biomass and N par-
titioning is a characteristic of crop and year
rather than N fertilization.

There was no correlation between N
applied (0 to 220 kg N/ha) and N content
in the cucumber crop expressed as
Yn, Vn, or Pn (data not shown). There was, however,
a significant positive linear correlation (P <
0.001) between N applied and percent N
content of vegetative and reproductive bio-
mass (Fig. 3). This was consistent with results
from several other crops (Everaarts and
Booij, 2000; Van Eerd, 2007; Zvomuya and
Rosen, 2002), including cucurbits (Moham-
mad, 2004), where N applied correlated with
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. In contrast, Hanna and Adams (1991)
showed no correlation between percent N
content and increasing N rates in cucumber
leaves collected before harvest.

Year and N treatment had a significant
effect on percent N content in the fruit and
vegetative biomass, but there was no inter-
action; therefore, data were pooled over years
(Fig. 3). Vegetative and fruit percent N
content displayed no correlation between
percent N content and/or N uptake by the
crop. Although independent of the quantity of
N available from fertilizer and/or soil, har-
vest index and harvest N index varied from
year to year and ranged from 26.9% to 38.3%
and 22.4% to 31.4%, respectively. For both
indices, 2004 was significantly higher than
2005 and 2006, which were different from
each other. This suggests that for these
experimental conditions, biomass and N par-
titioning is a characteristic of crop and year
rather than N fertilization.
Table 5. Estimated nitrogen budget for machine-harvested cucumber.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>N balance parameters</th>
<th>Description/calculation</th>
<th>N treatments (kg N/ha)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>Fertilizer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0  110  220  65 + 45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Sn@P$</td>
<td>Soil N at planting</td>
<td>NO$_3^-$ + NH$_4^+$-N to 30-cm depth</td>
<td>79 (7.1)  79 (7.1)  79 (7.1)  79 (7.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{N0}$</td>
<td>Soil-derived plant N</td>
<td>= $Pn$ in ON control</td>
<td>119 (10.9)  119 (10.9)  119 (10.9)  119 (10.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Vn$</td>
<td>Total input</td>
<td>= $Sn@P + F + Vn_{0}/Yn_{0}$</td>
<td>194 (13.1) a  305 (12.6) b  407 (12.7) c  308 (19.3) b</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Data are means with SE from 6 site-years over 2005 and 2006 with four replications at each site. Treatment means within rows followed by different letters were significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) based on Tukey-Kramer means separation.

---

**Fig. 3.** At harvest, percent nitrogen content in machine-harvested cucumber reproductive (-) and vegetative (■) tissues in response to ammonium nitrate broadcast-applied preplant incorporated or split-applied when the vines elongate (65 + 45). Date averaged across site-years. ***Correlation coefficients are significant at $P \leq 0.001$ (n = 95).

**Plant maturity at harvest between these two crops.** Machine-harvested cucumbers are harvested while the crop is in the active reproductive stage, but squash is harvested at plant senescence.

As a result of the lack of differences in aboveground plant N content between N treatments, differences in N budget parameters were the result of the quantity of N applied and, consequently, the quantity of soil mineral N at harvest (Table 5). Where significant, all N budget parameters and calculations displayed a $0 < 110 = $ split $< 220$ kg N/ha trend (Table 5). For example, total N inputs ranged from 254 to 472 kg N/ha in the 0 and 220 treatment, respectively, which were both significantly different from the 110 and split treatments (Table 5). As expected, increasing applied N fertilizer from 110 to 220 kg N/ha increased soil mineral N at harvest (Fig. 2) and resulted in higher total N outputs of 291 versus 369 kg N/ha and thus more N available for loss.

The N balance equations provide an indication of N potentially available for loss. Apparent N loss ($Nin - Nout$) was 52 kg N/ha at the highest rate tested (Table 5). When subtracted from the nonfertilized control, apparent N loss with 220 kg N/ha applied was 35 kg N/ha, which is a relatively minimal risk of loss. As well, the crop balance ($F - Pn$) indicates that with 110 kg N/ha applied, 36 to 48 kg N/ha, more N is taken up by the crop than fertilizer N applied. Regardless, significant quantities of N remain in the field at cucumber harvest as crop residue ($Vn$) and soil mineral N. Compared with the nonfertilized control, $\approx 87$ to 186 kg N/ha more N remained in the field when either 110 or 220 kg N/ha had been applied, respectively (Table 5). Moreover, cucumber vegetative tissue mineralizes rapidly and is subsequently available for loss. Another crop or cover crops can be planted after cucumber harvest in July to recover residual N and potentially minimize N losses from the agro-ecosystem (Snapp et al., 2005).

There was at least a 105 kg N/ha difference in crop removal balance ($F - Vn$) between treatments. Crop removal balance is a component of the N index within Ontario’s nutrient management plan, which sets a crop removal balance of 35 kg N/ha as a decision point for growers to adopt alternative management practices to mitigate N loss such as reducing N rates and/or planting a cover crop. This may be a concern for machine-harvested cucumbers because it is not unusual for southwestern Ontario growers to apply more than 65 kg N/ha, which would likely exceed the decision point of the N index. There was no effect of year on apparent N loss and crop removal balance, which may most likely be the result of the short growing season of 6 weeks for machine-harvested cucumber. The observed lack of differences between years simplifies management and regulatory decision-making processes because adjustments do not have to be taken into consideration for year-to-year variations.

**Nitrogen use efficiency.** Nitrogen treatment had a significant effect on all three NUE indices tested, whereas year significantly affected two of three NUE indices: fertilizer N uptake efficiency and standardized N removal. There were no significant N treatment x year interactions for any NUE indices; therefore, data were pooled across site-years.

Fertilizer N uptake efficiency and N uptake efficiency indices reflect increases in plant N derived from fertilizer N or fertilizer N plus soil mineral N, respectively (Table 6). For these indices, in machine-harvested cucumbers, NUE decreased with increasing N fertilization, but timing of N had no effect (Table 6). This suggests that the preplant application of 220 kg N/ha was not as efficient as 110 kg N/ha applied preplant or split. This result was consistent with other studies in which higher N rates consistently produced lower fertilizer use efficiencies than low and medium rates (Hatlegebrail et al., 2007; Nissen and Wander, 2003; Van Eerd, 2007).

Standardized N removal (i.e., the amount of N removed per tonne of crop) is currently used in nutrient management planning in Ontario to estimate crop removal balance ($F - Vn$) between treatments that received N fertilizer, which were higher than the zero N control at 1.8 versus 1.5 kg N/ha, respectively (Table 6). Standardized N...
Table 6. Nitrogen use efficiency indices of machine-harvested cucumbers. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N treatment (kg N/ha)</th>
<th>Fertilizer N uptake efficiency</th>
<th>N uptake efficiency</th>
<th>Standardized N removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fertilizer N treatment uptake (P*)/N (Pf)</td>
<td>N uptake efficiency (PN/So)</td>
<td>Standardized N removal (PNW/So)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 + 45</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N treatments were in kg N/ha of ammonium nitrate broadcast-applied preplant incorporated or split-applied when the vines elongate (65 + 45). Different letters for each index indicate a statistically significant difference (P = 0.05) based on Tukey-Kramer means separation.

Data are means with s.e. from 6 site-years over 2005 and 2006 with four replications at each site.

Formula symbols are as follows: plant (P), yield (Y), soil (S), weight (w), nitrogen content (n), fertilizer N treatment (f), and nonfertilized control (c). Units were kg N/ha for N content in fertilizer and soil. For N use efficiency analysis, soil nitrogen (Sn) consisted of mineral N content (NO3^-N) and NH4^+ at harvest to 30 cm.

N treatments were in kg N/ha of ammonium nitrate broadcast-applied preplant incorporated or split-applied when the vines elongate (65 + 45). Different letters for each index indicate a statistically significant difference (P = 0.05) based on Tukey-Kramer means separation.

There was no difference in all NUE indices between the preplant and split application treatment at 110 kg N/ha (Table 6). Thus, for a machine-harvested cucumber, there appears to be no advantage to split-applying 110 kg N/ha in terms of yield (Fig. 1), soil mineral N at harvest (data not shown), N budget (Table 5), or NUE (Table 6).

Similarly, split N applications also did not improve NUE over the traditional preplant application in spring wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) (Ma et al., 2006) nor did split N application to the crop. Nonetheless, it is recommended because there was minimal yield response observed in the fields tested, thus suggesting 110 kg N/ha may have unnecessarily high environmental and economical risks. For machine-harvested cucumbers grown in southwestern Ontario, 0 or 30 kg N/ha seems more appropriate considering the short growing season, timing of planting into warm soils, and the generally productive, nonresponsive soils in the region.

Literature Cited


Schultheis, J.R. and R.J. Dufault. 1994. Watermelon seedling growth, fruit yield, and quality...