

Versions of this article were published in the following Trade Journals:

Hsiang T and L. Tian. 2005. Turf Disease Research Update: Sugar with your Tea? Turf Line News 193(4/5):10-11

Hsiang T and L. Tian. 2005. Turf Disease Research Update: Sugar with your Tea? Sports Turf Managers 18(2):10.

## Turf Disease Research Update: Sugar with your Tea?

By Tom Hsiang and Lynn Tian

Department of Environmental Biology, University of Guelph

There are increasing societal pressures to limit the use of synthetic pesticides in urban environments. More municipalities are likely to ban the use of pesticides for cosmetic purposes, whether or not there is toxicological justification. In light of this trend, there is a need to seek alternatives. Although biological controls with microbial antagonists have shown promise for the control of pests, these products must also undergo very stringent reviews by the federal government, and the costs of registration are prohibitive in Canada. Opponents of synthetic pesticide use often list alternatives which they claim are as effective if not better than synthetic chemicals. There is a need for rigorous assessment of these claims with controlled testing of the efficacy of home or folk remedies. Substances which have recently been proposed for turfgrass disease control include:

- hydrogen peroxide (or hydrogen dioxide) used every few days to control foliar diseases
- molasses or sugars used weekly to stimulate microbial activity
- compost teas based on fermentation extracts from various composted materials

In summer 2004, we conducted some trials to look at the effects of some of these home remedies on turfgrass disease control. Two of the substances tested can be easily purchased at the local supermarket: hydrogen peroxide was used at 1% and 3%, and molasses was used at 1% and 5%. Both of these substances were applied at the two different rates weekly from June through September 2004 to test their efficacy against dollar spot disease development in field plots at the Guelph Turfgrass Institute pathology green in Guelph, Ontario. This research green had been constructed in 1994 to USGA specifications, and sown with creeping bentgrass cultivar Pennncross.

In addition to the peroxide and molasses, compost teas were generated and tested against dollar spot disease. There are many different recipes for making compost teas, but the one we tried was by diluting the compost in two-fold water and aerating (brewing) the solution using aquarium bubblers. We tested various types of starter composts: cattle manure compost, sheep manure compost, turkey manure compost, and mushroom compost, turf topdressing made with compost. Teas were made from each of these composts, and the number of micro-organisms was assessed for each tea on a daily basis up to 10 days. After looking at micro-organism counts, we decided to use compost teas brewed for seven days, and applied these in the field weekly from June through September 2004. The plots were also inoculated with the dollar spot fungus monthly to promote disease, and the plots were evaluated weekly.

## RESULTS

All treatments showed some level of suppression compared to our inoculated plots that were otherwise not treated. Molasses and peroxide showed the lowest levels of suppression (25% to 38% disease reduction), compared to the compost teas. For example, on 30 August 2004, there were an average of 71 spots in each of the four inoculated control plots (0.5 m by 0.5 m), compared to 48 spots per plot for the 1% molasses treatment, which gives a disease reduction rate of 32%.

The compost teas provided significantly higher levels of suppression: cattle (63%), topdressing (66%), sheep (70%), turkey (72%) and mushroom (86%). The number of spots per plot for the mushroom compost tea plots averaged 10, as compared to 11 spots in neighbouring plots which had been treated with the fungicide Daconil 2787 at 190 mL product / 100 m<sup>2</sup>. Among these treatments, the mushroom compost tea showed the greatest promise and should be tested further.

Funding for this work was provided by the Ontario Turfgrass Research Foundation. A web version of the presentation on this topic made at the Ontario Turfgrass Symposium in February 2005 can be found at: <http://www.uoguelph.ca/~thsiang/present/2005ots1.pdf>.

