# Final Report For Advanced Manure Management Technologies For Ontario Project

A Project Funded By

Cold Springs Farm
Selves Farms
Ontario Pork
Premium Pork
Ontario Pork Industry Council
Poultry Industry Council
Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food
Through
Healthy Futures For Ontario Program

Richard St. Jean Geomatrix Consultants AMMTO Project Manager John Alderman Cold Springs Farm Project Chair

March 20, 2004 AMMTO

Advanced Manure Management Technologies For Ontario



## **Disclaimer**

Use of Information in This Report is at the Users Own Risk.

AMMTO and all persons associated with the AMMTO project do not accept any liability for the use of this information.



### **Table of Contents**

Section Number	Section Title	Page Number
1.0	Project Background	1
2.0	Project Objectives	1
3.0	Summary of Project Activities	2
	3.1 Public Meeting	2
	3.2 Develop Technology Information Request Form	3
3.3	Identification of Manure Management Technologies	3
	3.4 Soliciting Technology Information	4
	3.5 Technology Database Development	4
	3.6 Technical Review Process	7
	3.7 Development of Economic Evaluation Template	8
	3.8 Development of Decision Making Database	9
4.0	Farm Manure Management Case Studies	9
4.1	David Bromley "Zero Waste Discharge System" for a 110	
	Sow Farrow to Finish Farm	10
	4.1.1 Case Study Farm Description	10
	4.1.2 Description of Case Study Manure Management Technology	11
	4.1.3 Capital Cost Summary for the David Bromley	
	"Zero Waste Discharge System"	14
	4.1.4 Economic Evaluation of Implementing the David Bromley "Zero	
	Waste Discharge System" on a 110 Sow Farrow to Finish Farm	19
	4.1.5 Sensitivity Analysis	21
	4.1.6 Summary	25
4.2	Böhni Compact Anaerobic System for a 2,000 Sow Finishing Farm	26
	4.2.1 Case Study Farm Description	26
	4.2.2 Description of Case Study Manure Management Technology	27
	4.2.3 Capital Cost Summary for the Böhni Compact Biogas Plant	32
	4.2.4 Economic Evaluation of Implementing the Böhni	0
	Compact Biogas Plant on the Cold Springs Wright Farm	35
	4.2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	40
4.2	4.2.6 Summary	47
4.3	J.F. BioEnergy Pyrolysis System for a 28,000 Bird Broiler Farm	50
	4.3.1 Case Study Farm Description	50
	4.3.2 Description of Case Study Manure Management Technology	51

Section Number	Section Title	Page Number
	4.3.3 Capital Cost Summary for the J.F. BioEnergy Pyrolysis System	53
	4.3.4 Economic Evaluation of Implementing the	
	J.F. BioEnergy Pyrolysis System on the McKinlay Farm	57
	4.3.5 Sensitivity Analysis	60
	4.3.6 Summary	63
4.4	New Logic "VSEP" Membrane System for a 2,500 Sow	
	Farrow to Wean Farm	64
	4.4.1 Case Study Farm Description	64
	4.4.2 Description of Case Study Manure Management Technology	65
	4.4.3 Capital Cost Summary for the New Logic "VSEP"Membrane System	69
	4.4.4 Economic Evaluation of Implementing the New	
	Logic "VSEP" System on The Premium Pork 2,500 Sow Farm	72
	4.4.5 Sensitivity Analysis	74
	4.4.6 Summary	77
4.5	Summary of Case Study Manure Management Economic Evaluations	77
5.0	Project Findings	79
5.1	Overview of Technology Submissions to AMMTO	79
5.2	Benefits of Adopting Advanced Manure Management Technology	79
5.3	Accessing "Perceived" Advantages of Manure Management Systems	80
5.4	Technologies Not Included in the AMMTO Report	82
5.5	Parallels Between Municipal Wastewater and Manure Treatment	82
5.6	Mineralized Nutrients and Their Potential to Move with Soil Water	83
5.7	Manure Spreader Versatility	84
5.8	Uncertainty of Pathogen Decline that Occurs During Biological Treatment	84
5.9	The Use of Soil as a Treatment Component of a	85
	Manure Management System	
5.10	Sale of Residuals as a Source of Economic Return	86
5.11	Diverting Manure Nutrients Away from Agricultural Systems	86
5.12	Energy from Manure	87
5.13	Manure Water Content as a Barrier to Treatment	87

### List of Appendices

Appendices Number	Appendices Title	Page Number
1	Summary of AMMTO Public Meeting	
	Discussions Posted on AMMTO Website	I
2	AMMTO "Technology Submission Form"	II
3	Database of Technology Suppliers Identified	III
4	Technology Information Request Letter	IV
	Summary Of Technologies Submitted to	
	AMMTO for Review	V
6	AMMTO Technology Review Committee	
	Members	VI
7	Technology Evaluation Sheets	VII
	Example Of Mathematical Calculations for	
8	Determining Technology Comparison	
	VIII Rankings Using AMMTO Process	
9	Economic Evaluation Template.	IX
10	Flow Chart for Use of "Steps to Implement"	
	Database and Typical Database Entry	X
11	Instructions For Using The AMMTO Manure	
	Management "Steps To Implement" Database	IX

### **APPENDIX 2**

## AMMTO "Technology Submission Form"

## AMMTO PROJECT ADVANCED MANURE MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY REVIEW SUBMISSION FORM

The purpose of this document is to solicit information regarding the operation, and performance capabilities of advanced manure management technologies suitable for adaptation by all sizes of Ontario livestock producers. The information will be used to evaluate the technology and list the technology on the AMMTO data base.

Technology Submissions for evaluation by AMMTO must be submitted using this Submission Form. Requested information is to be entered in the space allotted on the form. Typed submissions should be in 12 font.

Additional information is welcome but must be appended as a separate document.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

The submission of this partially or fully completed form, together with any supporting documentation, constitutes a release of the submitted information for distribution to AMMTO parties for evaluation and listing in the AMMTO database, and for distribution to the general public.

INFORMATION OF A PROPRIETARY, CONFIDENTIAL OR INTELLECTUAL NATURE SHOULD NOT BE SUBMITTED. AMMTO AND PARTIES INVOLVED WITH THE AMMTO PROJECT WILL TAKE NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION SUBMITTED.

AMMTO does not make any warranty that all submissions will be evaluated and reserves the right to select, at it's discretion, the submissions that will be evaluated and included in the AMMTO data base.

It is the submitter's responsibility to confirm that AMMTO has received their submission.

Name of		
Submitter:	 	 
Address:		
Contact Name:		
Phone Number:		
Fax Number:	 	 
E-mail:		

1. Type of Submitter:	Consultant  Government Agency  Private Researcher  Manufacturer's Sales Representative  Farm Operator  Educational Institution  Agri-Business Retailer	
2. Indicate the type of I	Manure that the proposed Technology is	s suitable for:
Solid Manure □	Liquid Manure   Both solid and	liquid Manure □
Dairy $\square$ Beef $\square$	Swine $\square$ Poultry $\square$ Sheep $\square$	
Other   Please specify _		
3. What is the developr management technol	nent and implementation status of the plogy?	roposed manure
Laboratory studies compled Deliot studies completed Delion Scale installations suggested Technology is patented Dechnology patent is pendicular.	ccessfully operating $\Box$	
4. If available please properating.	ovide particulars for up to three installa	ations currently
System 1.		
System Model number:  System manufacturer:		
Maximum system capacit	y:	
Quantity of manure treate	d daily:	
Commercial Operation	Pilot Scale Operation □	Lab Scale $\square$
Name & phone number of	f system owner:	
Type of livestock operation	n: on:	
	JII	
System capital cost:		
Annual operating cost:		
Problems experienced to		

Revised March 03, 2002 Page 2 of 12

System Model number:		
System manufacturer:		
Maximum system capacity:		
Quantity of manure treated dai		
Commercial Operation □	Pilot Scale Operation	$\square$ Lab Scale $\square$
Name & phone number of syst	tem owner:	
Size of livestock operation:		
Type of fivestock operation:		
Date of installation:		
System capital cost:		
Annual operating cost:		
Problems experienced to date:		
System 3.		
System Model number:		
System manufacturer:		
Maximum system capacity:		
Quantity of manure treated dai	ily:	
Commercial Operation □	Pilot Scale Operation	$\square$ Lab Scale $\square$
Name & phone number of syst	tem owner:	
Size of livestock operation:		
Type of livestock operation:		
Date of installation:		
System capital cost:		
Annual operating cost:		
Problems experienced to date:		
5. List any scientific papers	and/or articles that have b	een published on the
technology proposed.		•
Author's Name and Phone	Title of Published	Name of Journal, Magazine
Number	Paper/Article	or Newspaper and date published
		poemen

System 2.

Number	Paper/	Article	or Newspaper and date published
			•
6. State the names of any R Foreign Countries) that manures or other organic conditions the technolog	have accepted ic by-product i	the technology	for management of
Name of Regulatory A	Agency	Regulator	ry Conditions Technology Satisfies
Advanced land application ted Advanced land application us Aerobic biological treatment Anaerobic biological treatment Advanced livestock housing the Physical treatment (e.g. centric compression drying etc.)  Please state the physical procession of the physical procession drying etc.)	chniques using ing surface app  nt  cehnology to refifuging, screeni	soil injection m lication method educe volume of ng, clarifier sett	ethods □ ds □ f manure □
Chemical treatment (eg. acid Please state the chemical proc	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
basis of change in manu	re characterist the atmospher	enefits of the price and on the receive terms of th	roposed technology on the basis of quantity treated will be reduced by 250 mg/

Title of Published

Name of Journal, Magazine

Revised March 03, 2002 Page 4 of 12

Author's Name and Phone

	odour from manure will be reduced by 75 %, manure will be managed by waste management experts, manure volume will be reduced by 50% etc.)				aged by waste
	management experts, ma	anure volume	will be redu	ced by 50% etc.	.)
_					<del></del> ,
_					
9.	Indicate how the quantif	iable benefits	of the techno	ology presented	above were
	Independent research/tests Manufacturer/inventor con		aaarah/taata [		
	Estimate based on scientif		search/tests [		
	List people who can be co		uss research/	test conditions a	nd results and
	verify performance claims	S:			
	Agency/Company and Cor	ntact Name		Phone/Fax/e-n	nail
10.	. Indicate influent manur resulting organic materi				
	Manure Characteristic		uent		ffluent
	(where applicable)	Charac	teristics	Chara	acteristics
	Day Biochemical Oxygen				
	mand (BOD <sub>5</sub> ) emical Oxygen Demand				
	OD)				
	Organic Matter				
	nmoniacle Nitrogen				
	trate Nitrogen	,			
Nit	trite Nitrogen				

Manure Characteristic	Influent	Effluent
(where applicable)	Characteristics	Characteristics
Organic Nitrogen		
Total Phosphorus		
Organic Phosphorus		
Plant Available Phosphorus		
% Dry Matter		
% Moisture		
Bacteria		
Trace metals		
Dry Matter (unit weight in		
versus unit weight out)		
Volume (unit volume in		
versus unit volume out)		
Others (Specify)		
	·	
	·	

### 11. If the technology is proposed for odour control indicate the anticipated concentration of odour causing compounds before and after treatment.

Odour Characteristics (Specify types of odourous compounds removed and concentration)	Concentration Before Treatment	Concentration After Treatment

### 12. If the technology is proposed for reducing green house gas emissions indicate the anticipated emissions before and after treatment.

Greenhouse gas	Emissions Without	Emissions With Treatment
(specify gases)	Treatment (mg/tonne or	(mg/tonne or mg/m <sup>3</sup> of
	mg/m <sup>3</sup> of manure)	manure)

#### 13. List the economic benefits to the farm operator (eg. 25 % reduction in manure

	land application costs, 50 % reduction in land base required, elimination of time required to address odour complaints, 25% less manure storage capacity required, 10 % reduction in manure management labour requirements, eliminate costly public opposition to livestock operation expansion, 0.75 tonnes of horticultural potting soil produced per tonne of manure treated etc.)
	List benefits to society (eg. decrease in anxiety over livestock operations, protection of groundwater resources, reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, viable livestock industry for Ontario, improved farm/neighbor relations, manure being managed by organic resource management experts etc.)
	Indicate the range in daily manure quantities that the technology can be adapted to treat or manage, based on economics and technology limitations:
Mir	imum daily quantity required (m <sup>3</sup> /day and/or tonnes/day):
Ma	ximum daily quantity that can be treated or managed (m³/day, tonnes/day)
Wh 1,00	at are the treatment capacity sizing increments for the technology (eg. increments of 00 m <sup>3</sup> , increments of 100 tonnes etc)?
	List acceptable manure characteristics for the proposed technology.
	Acceptable % moisture range (for solid manure):
c) A	cceptable C: N ratio (solid manure):
	Acceptable C: N ratio (Liquid manure):
e) V	Vill technology treat manure of any age? Yes $\square$ No $\square$
	If answer to e) above was no state acceptable storage period prior to treatment (months)

<b>18.</b> ]	Indicate acceptable range of N:P:K ratios in the	manure for the technology.
Min	nimum acceptable N:P:K ratio	
Max	nximum acceptable N:P:K ratio	
i	Can trace minerals, metals, medications or antil impact the technology?	piotic concentrations negatively
Yes	s $\square$ No $\square$ Undetermined $\square$	
á (	If the answer to 16. above is yes please indicate to acceptable or minimum concentration required Copper < 1 mg/kg of manure dry solids or antib solids).	in the manure being treated (eg.
-		
	If the answer to 16 above was undetermined list limitations associated with the technology.	any suspected concentration
-		
-		
-		
]	For manure treatment processes please provide process steps, any process inputs including energy materials etc. and process outputs including the air (gaseous and particulate).	gy, chemicals, nutrients, organic
1	For advanced land application technologies and technologies that reduce manure volume and/or that illustrate the features of the technology.	
<b>24.</b> ]	Provide a brief description of the proposed tech	nology
-		
=		
-		
-		
-		
-		
-		
-		

<b>[                                    </b>	nwo.ooga	of energy/m <sup>3</sup>	a of ma	twooted (s=	lra of chame! -	a1/4a
	Drocess indu	us on the basi	s oi manure	rreated (eg.	ky of chemic	ai/tonn

26. List the costs for all process inputs on the electricity per m <sup>3</sup> of manure treated, \$0 treated, \$1.05 for sawdust per tonne of per tonne of manure treated)	.55 for chlorine	e per m <sup>3</sup> of manure
Process Input	(	Cost for Input
1 rocess input		ty. of manure treated
	φιαπιτισμ	ij. or manare treates
Description of Operational Function Req Attention		Daily Time Commitment (hours)
1 2000		Communication (nours)
28. List the process operating parameters the dissolved oxygen concentration, temper operating range (eg. 35-37 deg. C, 55-65	ature, C:N rati	
Operating Darameter Controller	1	Target Control Dangs
Operating Parameter Controlled	1	Target Control Range

Opera	Operating Parameter Controlled			T	Target Control Range	
-	es of ann	ual maintenance	e required for		nanure managemen biece of equipment	
Name of Equipm	nent	Annual Mai		Co	ost for Maintenance	
30. List the System capital costs and Model Number	d estima Treat				Annual Operating Cost	
31. What special sl manure manage			personnel res	ponsib	le for operating the	

32.	Provide a	a summary	of annual	operating	costs and	revenues.
	I I U I I I U U	a Duillianu ,	or amman	. Oper aum	CODED WILL	I C I CII GCDI

Operating Parameter	Annual Quantity of Parameter Used or Generated	Annual Costs and Revenues
What manure treatment capac	ity are operating costs based of	on?
Energy (List type)		
Operating labour (Indicate		
hours required annually)		
Maintenance and repair		
(Include labour costs) Chemical inputs (provide		
type and quantity)		
type and quantity)		
Organic inputs (provide		
type and quantity)		
Revenues (provide source		
and annual quantity of item		
generating revenue)		

## 33. Indicate end markets available for any revenue generating resources that result from the technology.

End Markets	Estimated Marketable Quantity/Unit of Manure	Unit Value of Resource (\$/tonne or \$/m³)
	Quantity/Onit of Manufe	(ψ/ tollife Of ψ/ III )

34. Additional system information is welcome but must be submitted under separate cover and appended to the Technology Review Form.